Impact of Flood 2010 on the Fertility Status of Soil of Tehsil Garhi Khairo, District Jacobabad, Pakistan
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Abstract. A field study was carried out to assess some measurable changes in chemical properties of the soil of tehsil Garhi Khairo, district Jacobabad that was affected by ‘Supra flood 2010’. Forty-five composite soil samples were collected at sampling depths, 0-15 cm, 15-30 cm, and 30-45 cm from 15 different regions of tehsil Garhi Khairo before and after flood. Samples were analyzed for the determination of various chemical parameters such as pH, electrical conductivity, soluble sodium (Na\textsuperscript{+}), potassium (K\textsuperscript{+}), calcium (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}), and magnesium (Mg\textsuperscript{2+}). Results of the analysis were compared with the reference data that were analyzed before the flood. Results of the analysis revealed that due to flood, chemical nature of soil was changed from slightly alkaline to strongly alkaline. Before and after flood soil remains non-saline. There has been a significant increase in the optimum concentration of soluble sodium (Na\textsuperscript{+}), calcium (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) and magnesium (Mg\textsuperscript{2+}). However; potassium (K\textsuperscript{+}) content of the soil was uniformly decreased.

Introduction

Flood is considered as a potential risk to lives, land, assets and ecosystem [3]. Pakistan has faced floods in 1950, 1956, 1957, 1973, 1976, 1978, 1992, and 2010. The flood 2010 was an extraordinary and unprecedented event in the known history of River Indus [14]. Since, Sindh province lies at the base of River Indus and is being almost flat consequentially the extra water in the form of rain or flood is crossing from it which is more often than the normal drain capacity. Left bank outfall drain (LBOD) has a release capacity of 4000 cusecs while the drain water discharges with the rate of 18000 cusecs, such addition water constrained the channels to overtop and break. It has been expected that flood 2010 had demonstrated to be more destroying particularly for the areas of upper Sindh, especially Jacobabad [12], [9].

In August 2010, two deadly and overwhelming rounds of monsoon rains had severely smashed the district by drowning them via affecting 197,320 peoples, causing around hundreds of human deaths and thousands of animal causalities. Peoples of this territory mainly depend on agriculture, livestock and fisheries. All of these divisions were massively crushed due to heavy rains that gave a financial loss of 160, 107 PKR millions and 4.5 million acres standing crops were destroyed. Losses caused by flood not constrained to only economic concern but these were expected to be long enduring in term of the destruction of natural resources including effecting the soil quality of the district [29], [10].

Usually, the agro-based economy relies more prominently on the fertility status of the soils of any area. The balance of nutrients in the soil is the key approach to enhance the yield of the crop. Overabundance and imbalanced status of nutrients due to flooding lead to cause nutrient mining from the soil, deteriorated the productivity of crops and eventually impact on the health of the soil [18], [21], [11].
This study was planned to evaluate the effect of flooding on the fertility status of soil. The information about status of nutrients in soil shall help in the selection of proper fertilizer to supply. Analysis of the chemical properties of soil is reported in a number of articles however no study has been published on the effect of flood water on the chemical properties of the soil of district Jacobabad, Pakistan.

**Description of study area**

Garhi Khairo is one of the Tehsil of Jacobabad district which is located on the border of Sindh and Balochistan province at latitude 28°16'37.32''N and longitude 68°27'05.04''E. Garhi Khairo Tehsil is unique as the hottest spot in South Asia where the mercury in thermometer rises above 50°C. Like other regions of province, this area is also deficient in rainfall. Due to shortage of water, artificial irrigation is the major resource to irrigate crops. Crops for instance rice, pulses, and vegetables like onion, tomato, and fruit trees like jujube are mainly growing throughout the Tehsil.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sampling of soil**

Soil samples collection was carried out in the rice farmland area of Goth Alahndo Jamali, tehsil Garhi Khairo, district Jacobabad, Sindh, Pakistan. Forty five samples before and after flood were collected at 0-15cm, 15-30cm, and 30-45cm from 15 different regions of tehsil Garhi Khairo, as to systematically investigate the effects of flood deeply in soil profile. Plants debris and stones were removed before taking samples. Samples were placed in clean polyethylene bags, which were labelled clearly. Analysis of soil samples before flood was carried out by Allah Wadhayo Gandahi and Javed Ali Babar in Department of Soil Sciences, Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam, while samples after flood was analysed by Adnan Murad Bhayo and Muhammad Latif in Department of Chemistry, Federal Urdu University of Arts, Science and Technology, Pakistan.

**Methods used for laboratory analysis**

Samples were air dried in the open air under shades, crushed, ground and passed through 1/8th inches mesh screen sieve. Samples were investigated for important chemical properties of soil including, pH and electrical conductivity (EC) using 1:5 soil water extract [20]. Calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) and magnesium (Mg\(^{2+}\)) were analyzed as proposed by [5]. Nutrient status categorization for sodium (Na\(^{+}\)) and potassium (K\(^+\)) were determined as reported by [19].

**pH:**

The availability of nutrients in soil is mainly dictated by Soil pH. The pre-flood obtained data is suggested that the pH of the soil of the area is generally slightly alkaline (<8.0) in reaction while, it tuned to strongly highly alkaline nature (>8.0) in almost all soil samples due to flood (Figure 1). Upon critical evaluation of data, it has been observed that the pH at all sites before the flood was in a range of 7.2-7.9 while after flood, pH was observed in the range of 8.1-9.2. The flooding and runoff from the upland may deposite layers of salts, hence an enhancement in pH is presumed from the outcomes [17]. These findings are in line with the research group of who revealed that the pH of the soil of rice cultivated area (after the flood) was alkaline in nature. It has been proved that the availability of toxic substances decreases with increasing pH of soil.
Electrical conductivity (EC)

Electrical conductivity (EC) is a measure of the extent of the current carrying ability of the analyte that gives a clear indication for the presence of soluble salts in the soil. EC values may influence by varying soil chemical properties such as, soil depth, salinity, porosity, and integrity of the presence of charge containing specie and their exchange capability [6], [23]. EC values of the sampled spots on three different soil layers are depicted in Figure 2. Average value of EC before flood at depth 0-15 cm, 15-30cm, 30-45 cm are 1390,990 and 580 µs/cm, respectively. While after flood average value of EC at depth 0-15 cm, 15-30cm, and 30-45 cm are 1470, 1120 and 860 µs/cm, respectively. This is due to the exogenous input of salts, ions and total dissolved solids carried by the flood from the ocean into the soil. EC values are in range of limit that are indicative of soils which have low salinity hazards to plants. These findings are in line with previous studies [16], [4].

Sodium (Na⁺)

Sodium helps plants in synthesis of chlorophyll and metabolic process. The Optimum value of sodium in agricultural soil for fertile soil should be in between 120-180 ppm [24], [15]. The content of sodium in reference spots was in a range of 52-208 ppm while, it ranged from 90-153 ppm after flood (Figure 3). The enhancement of sodium at depth 30-45 cm due to leaching and dilution because flooding increases the solubility of mineral nutrients. Increment in basic salt deposition in soil is also proved through pH and Electrical conductivity value. In practical terms, extreme
alkaline pH due to soluble sodium (Na\(^+\)) in soil lead to nutrient imbalances in the roots of plants [8], [28]. Moreover, before flood the maximum value of soluble sodium (116 ppm) was observed at depth 0-15 cm and minimum value of soluble sodium (22 ppm) was observed at depth 30-45 cm. While after flood both maximum (325 ppm) and minimum value (10 ppm) of soluble sodium was noted at depth 0-15 cm. Such results were also observed in previous research [25].

**Figure 3.** Na\(^+\) at three different depths of soil before and after the flood

**Potassium (K\(^+\))**

Potassium is crucial in almost all biological processes necessary to withstand plant life and catalyze important metabolic reactions, such as photosynthesis, regulation of nutrients and water intake [27]. On the basis of concentration of potassium, soil categorized as very low (<5 ppm), low (5-8 ppm), optimum (8-17 ppm) and high (17-30 ppm). Soil test potassium at different soil depths are given in Figure 4. The results of the study revealed that the potassium content of the sampled sites was generally high (>30 ppm) in all samples with no systematic trend. Before flood 84.21% soil samples at depth 0-15 cm, 73.68% samples at depth 30-45 cm and 58.42% soil samples at 30-45 cm soil depth were high in soluble potassium. After flood 33% soil sample at 0-15 cm, 13.33% at depth 15-30 cm and and 0.00% soil samples at 30-45 cm soil depth were in the category of high in soluble potassium. On overall basis the potassium content was in a range of 16 to 25 ppm. Decrease in potassium content of soil after flood is also proved from previous study [22].

**Figure 4.** K\(^+\) at three different depths of soil before and after the flood
Magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$)

Magnesium is known for its important role in photosynthesis, as it is considered as a building block of the chlorophyll that enables leaves to appear green [13]. On the basis of concentration of magnesium, soil categorized as low (12.2 ppm), optimum (12.2-122 ppm) and high (>122 ppm). Before flood 5.26, 89.47, 5.26% at depth 0-15cm, 36.84, 63.15, 00% at depth 15-30cm and 68.42, 31.57, 00% samples at depth 30-45cm were low, optimum and high in magnesium concentration, respectively. After flood 6.66, 13.33, 80% at depth 0-15cm, 00, 26.66, 73.33% at depth 15-30cm and 00, 53.33, 46.66% samples at depth 30-45cm were low, optimum and high in magnesium concentration, respectively Figure 5. Increase in magnesium concentration sharply observed in all of three depths. These observations are in line with previous research [1].

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Mg$^{2+}$ at three different depths of soil before and after the flood

Calcium (Ca$^{2+}$)

Calcium helps to maintain chemical balance in the soil, reduces soil salinity, and improves water penetration [7]. On the basis of concentration of calcium in soil, soil categorized as low (> 80ppm) optimum (80-400 ppm) and high (<400ppm) in calcium concentration. Before flood 72% and 28% soils at 0-15cm, 88 and 12% soil samples at 15-30cm and 100 and zero % soil samples at 30-45 cm soil depth were low and optimum in soluble calcium, respectively. While after flood, all samples that were analyzed were in optimum soluble calcium level, respectively (Figure 6). It was indicated that the soil of Tehsil changed from low to optimum calcium content category. Such outcomes were also observed in other research [18].
Figure 6. Ca$^{+2}$ at three different depths of soil before and after the flood

Table 1. Comparison of data before and after flood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Before Flood</th>
<th>Category (overall)</th>
<th>After Flood</th>
<th>Category (overall)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>SLIGHTLY ALKALINE</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>STRONGLY ALKALINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-45</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical conductivity (µs/cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>NON SALINE</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>NON SALINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>990</td>
<td></td>
<td>1120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-45</td>
<td>580</td>
<td></td>
<td>860</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>OPTIMUM</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>OPTIMUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-45</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>VERY HIGH</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>OPTIMUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>OPTIMUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>OPTIMUM</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

From the whole study, it can be concluded that flood has both beneficial and harmful effects on soil and ground water. Beneficial effect in the sense that, after flood calcium and potassium concentration changed from low to optimum level. Disadvantage in the sense that magnesium concentration increased much more after flood and reaches at hazardous level. The information of soils related to the status of nutrients shall help in selection of proper nutrients to supply.
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