Vegetation Structure in Shahbazgari, District Mardan
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ABSTRACT

The present work was made to investigate the vegetation structure of shrubs and herbs in research area. Total 48 plant species consisting of 7 shrubs and 41 herbs constructing Chenchrus-Zizyphus-Saccharum community from 9 sites in which 9 communities i.e. Fumaria-Rumex-Xanthium community, Cynodon-Solanum-Sonchus community, Cynodon-Sorghum-Alhagi community, Ajuga- Malvastrum-Calotropis community, Cynodon –Convolvulus-Cyperus community, Ajuga-Saccharum-Chenopodium community, Alhagi-Rumex-Euphorbia community, Saccharum-Cannabis-Xanthium community and Achyranthus-Ajuga-Euphorbia community were found. The contribution of total important values by shrubs was 43.78 and 256.2 by herbs. The soil of the area had better calcium carbonate in the range of (11.72 – 12.99 %), with soil pH (6.45 – 8.11). The EC was found in the range of (0.13-0.22 dS m⁻¹). The concentrations of P and K content were found in the range of (3.54-3.92 mg Kg⁻¹) and (111.21-127.14 mg Kg⁻¹). These results highlight the constant need for long-term ground-based conservation monitoring in combination with satellite-based monitoring of changes in vegetation cover.

1. INTRODUCTION

Vegetation community structure shows the plant species diversity in an area. The vegetation of earth is modified to the insignificant conditions occurring with thin populations. The researchers [1, 2, 3] have studied different aspects of vegetation structure and distribution patterns in different parts of Pakistan. Phytosociology of Central European phyto-sociology has been developing since the early 20th century by [4]. The author [5] stated that the community as a whole usually exhibits seasonal fluctuations, and its structure and composition are strongly influenced by the quantity of species. The authors [6] stated that the Phytosociology is an invaluable method for vegetation survey. The authors [7] research out the phytosociology of roadside communities and as a result 85 species were observed. The authors [8] reported 11 plants community during summer in Tehsil Takht-E-Nasrati Hills, District Karak, Pakistan. The author [9] studied the Maturity dynamism of plant life and pointed out that the species frequency was changed with the change of season and altitude. The community structure and distribution patterns of research area and their hills have not been given due attention till the date by the plant ecologists, and hence poorly understood [10, 11, 12, 13]. The distribution and community structure of vegetation is managed by unfavorable edaphic and climatic factors; mainly by rainfall, temperature and redistribution of water. The soil is a complex structure, consisting of different raw material, gases, organic and inorganic molecules in different structure with different properties and characteristics. Water is present in the pore of soil particles which dissolve the organic and inorganic molecules for use of land plants. The quantity of these molecules affects the structural and physical condition of the soil. These molecules play a key role in stopping waste elements in a definite soil due to the presences of highly reactive charged particles and the positively charged surfaces serve as anion exchange media for negatively charged constituents. Soil is the upper surface of the earth which is produced from the parent material through environmental factors and chemical reaction of different molecules consequently provide
the natural medium for the plant growth and differs from parent material in physical, morphological, chemical and biological properties and characteristics. The investigated area low altitude grazing hills are very important being a wild life habitat, water catchment and a livelihood source for nomadic and transhumant inhabitants. The Shahbaz Garhi lies from 34°23′N 72°17′E. The elevation of the valley is 1000 to 2056m above sea level. The total area of the valley is 5 kilometers sq. the research area is situated on the junction of three ancient routes i.e. Kabul to Pushkalavati, Swat through Buner and Taxila through Hund on the bank of Indus River. The town was once a thriving Buddhist city surrounded by monasteries and stupas [11]. The research area is severely despoiled due to nomadic and sedentary live-stock overgrazing. Due to huge population increases and increasing urbanization practices, existing reserve forests and grazing lands are overburdened with community rights making it impossible to reduce the grazing pressure [12]. Grazing practices are one of the important determinants of vegetation circulation model and having most noticeable impact on the floral biodiversity of an area [13].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The phytosociological expeditions were carried out in 2013 -2014. Quadrat method was used to study and analyse the vegetation dynamic as well as to collect the primary data for statistical analyses. A total of 9 sites were laid in the study area. 10 Quadrats were laid in each selected sites having best representation of floral biodiversity and geographic extent of the area.

2.1. VEGETATION ATTRIBUTES

Vegetation attributes including frequency, density and cover were recorded. The importance value of each species was compiled adding relative density (RD), relative frequency (RF) and relative cover (RC) following [14, 24].

2.2. COMMUNITY NAMED

On the basis of the highest importance values of the first three dominant species from each layer, the communities were established and named. Plants from the premises of sampling points as well as isolated vegetation patches were also collected to record maximum number of species and their distribution patterns. Collected samples were pressed, dried and transported to herbarium of Federal Government College Mardan Cantt, Khyber Pakhtunkhawa, Pakistan, where they were identified and classified following [3, 15, 16].

2.3. EDAPHOLOGY

Two kg soil sample was collected from nine sites of research area up to 15 cm depending upon the area situation. Collection of soil was taken from outer periphery of plants canopy or at the centre of plants with the help of soil auger. Soil of each site mixed to make a composite sample. After dryness and passing through 2 mm sieve, samples were stored for further experiment. There were 5 replicates from each site. These were analyzed for different chemical and physical parameters including soil texture, organic matter, lime contents, pH, EC, phosphorus and potassium as following standard methods [14, 17, 18].

3. RESULTS

In investigated area collectively 48 plant species consisting of 7 shrubs and 41 herbs constructing Cenchrus-Zizyphus-Saccharum community from 9 sites in which 9 communities i.e. Fumaria-Rumex-Xanthium community, Cynodon-Solanum-Sonchus community, Cynodon-Sorghum-Alhagi community, Ajuga-Malvastrum-Calotropis community, Cynodon-Convolvulus-Cyperus community, Ajuga-Saccharum-Chenopodium community, Alhagi-Rumex-Euphorbia community, Saccharum-Cannabis-Xanthium community and Achyranthus-Ajuga-Euphorbia community were found. Fumaria-Rumex-Xanthium community was composed of 14 species at site A. The Importance value contributed by three dominants species i.e. Fumaria indica, Rumex dentatus and Xanthium strumarium was 82.59. The associated species in herbaceous stratum included Carthamus oxycantha (IV = 24.59), Cymbopogon distans (IV=22.79), Chrozophora oblique (IV = 21.73) and Cynodon dactylon (IV = 21.23) (Table 1). The community preferred to
grow on high percentage of clay (58%) and low amount of silt (27%) and sand (15%) particles. The soil of the community had better calcium carbonate (12.33%) with alkaline soil pH (7.85). The concentrations of P and K content were found in the range of 3.55 and 113.21 mg Kg-1. The community preferred EC in the range of 0.13 dS m-1. The soil texture was found clay (Figures 1-4). *Cynodon-Sorghum-Alhagi* community was present at Site B. This community was supported by 13 plant species in which consist of 3 shrubs and 10 herb species. The dominant plant species were *Cynodon dactylon* (IV = 32.5), *Solanum surattense* (IV = 26.41) and *Sonchus asper* (IV = 26.31), *Calotropis procera* (23.47) and *Cyperus scariosus* (IV = 22.22) and *Saccharum spontaneum* (IV = 22.12) (Table 1). The community preferred to grow on high percentage of clay (66%) and low amount of sand (18%) and silt (16%) particles. The soil of the community had better calcium carbonate (12.35%) with soil pH (6.45). The concentrations of P and K content were found in the range of 3.57 and 115.71 mg Kg-1. The community preferred EC in the range of 0.2 dS m-1 (Figures 1-4). *Cynodon-Sorghum-Alhagi* community was established at site C and is dominated by *Cynodon dactylon* (IV = 26.87), *Sorghum halepense* (IV = 25.85) and *Alhagi maurorum* (IV = 24.23). The associated species included *Convulvulus arvensis* (IV= 20.58) and *Cyperus scariosus* (IV = 18.66) (Table 1). The community preferred to grow on high percentage of clay (59%) followed by silt (22%) and sand (19%) particles. The soil of the community had lime contents (11.72%) and EC (0.17 dS m-1) with soil pH (6.95). The concentrations of P and K content were initiated in the range of 3.59 and 117.47 mg Kg-1, respectively. The soil texture was found to be clay (Figures 1-4). AMC community was composed of 23 species comprising 4 shrubs and 19 herb species at site D. The Importance value contributed by three dominant species i.e. *Ajuga bractiosa*, *Malvastrum coromandelianum* and *Calotropis procera* was 56.7 while total importance value of 243.3 was provided by the remaining species. Associated species included *Cannabis sativa* (IV=16.9), *Cassia occidentalis* (IV = 16.9) and *Cymbopogon distans* (IV = 15.4) (Table 1). The community preferred to grow on high percentage of clay (61%) and low amount of sand (23%) and silt (16%) particles. The soil of the community had better calcium carbonate (12.36%) with soil pH (7.11). The concentrations of P and K content were found in the range of 3.54 and 122.41 mg Kg-1. The community preferred EC in the range of 0.19 dS m-1 (Figures 1-4). *Cynodon–Convulvulus-Cyperus* community was established at site E and composed of 20 species. This community was dominated by *Cynodon dactylon* (IV = 25.95), *Convulvulus arvensis* (IV = 23.44) and *Cyperus scariosus* (IV=20.83) (Table 1). The community preferred to grow on high percentage of clay (57%) followed by silt (22%) and sand (21%) particles. The soil of the community had lime contents (12.45%) and EC (0.22 dS m-1) with soil pH (6.84). The concentrations of P and K content were initiated in the range of 3.67 and 119.23 mg Kg-1, respectively. The soil texture was found to be clay (Figures 1-4). *Ajuga-Saccharum-Chenopodium* community was developed at site F and was dominated by *Ajuga bractiosa* (IV = 26.05), *Saccharum spontaneum* (IV = 25.09) in tree strata and *Chenopodium album* (IV = 22.63). This community was supported by 16 plant species (Table 1). The community preferred to grow on high percentage of clay (56%) followed by silt (22%) and sand (22%) particles. The soil of the community had lime contents (12.26%) and EC (0.17 dS m-1) with soil pH (6.94). The concentrations of P and K content were initiated in the range of 3.62 and 124.36 mg Kg-1, respectively. The soil texture was found to be clay (Figures 1-4). ARE community was developed at site G and composed of 19 species. There were 3 shrubs and 16 herb species. Dominant plant species on the basis of important values were *Alhagi maurorum* (IV = 25), *Rumex dentatus* (IV = 21.6) and *Euphorbia hirta* (IV = 21.4) (Table 1). The community preferred to grow on high percentage of clay (62%) and low amount of sand (20%) and silt (18%) particles. The soil of the community had better calcium carbonate (12.41%) with soil pH (7.23). The concentrations of P and K content were found in the range of 3.63 and 127.14 mg Kg-1. The community preferred EC in the range of 0.18 dS m-1 (Figures 1-4). *Saccharum-Cannabis-Xanthium* community was established at site H was composed of 24 species. There were 3 shrubs and 21 herb species. The Importance value contributed by three dominant species i.e. *Saccharum spontaneum, Cannabis sativa* and *Xanthium strumarium* was 55.95 (Table 1). The community preferred to grow on high percentage of clay (68%) and low amount of sand (17%) and silt (15%) particles. The soil of the
community had better calcium carbonate (12.99%) with soil pH (8.11). The concentrations of P and K content were found in the range of 3.71 and 111.21 mg Kg⁻¹. The community preferred EC in the range of 0.19 dS m⁻¹ (Figures 1-4). *Achyranthus-Ajuga- Euphorbia* community was composed of 21 species at site I. Dominant plant species on the basis of important values were *Achyranthus aspera* (IV = 25), *Ajuga bractiosa* (IV = 24.1) and *Euphorbia helioscopia* (IV = 21.1) (Table 1). The community preferred to grow on high percentage of clay (53%) followed by sand (24%) and silt (23%) particles. The soil of the community had lime contents (12.33%) and EC (0.21 dS m⁻¹) with soil pH (6.94). The concentrations of P and K content were initiated in the range of 3.71 and 124.23 mg Kg⁻¹, respectively. The soil texture was found to be clay (Figures 1-4).

### Table 1. Total Important Value of communities present in nine sites of Shahbaz Gari, District Mardan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species Name</th>
<th>FRX</th>
<th>CSS</th>
<th>CSA</th>
<th>AMC</th>
<th>CCC</th>
<th>ASC</th>
<th>ARE</th>
<th>SCX</th>
<th>AAE</th>
<th>TIV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Achyranthus aspera</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.63</td>
<td>16.99</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ajuga bractiosa</em> Wall. Benth.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ajuga parviflora</em> Benth.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alhagi maurorum</em> Medic.</td>
<td>16.56</td>
<td>22.02</td>
<td>24.23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amaranthus viridis</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Boerhaavia procumbens</em> Banks ex Roxb.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calotropis procera</em> (Wight.) Ali.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.47</td>
<td>16.86</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>13.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cannabis sativa</em> L.</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Capsella bursa-pestoris</em> Medic.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Carthamus oxyantha</em> M. Bieber.</td>
<td>24.59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cassia occidentalis</em> L.</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>16.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cenchrus ciliaris</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.84</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Centaurea calcitrapa</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chenopodium album</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chenopodium murale</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrozophora oblata</em> (Vahl) A. Juss.</td>
<td>21.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Convolvulus arvensis</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cymbopogon distans</em> (Nees ex Steud.)Watson.</td>
<td>22.79</td>
<td>20.77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cynodon dactylon</em> L. Pers.</td>
<td>21.23</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>26.87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyperus rotundus</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyperus scarlosus</em> R.Br.</td>
<td>17.97</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>18.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Datura metel</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euphorbia helioscopia</em> Mewski.</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euphorbia hirta</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euphorbia prostrata</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fumaria indica</em> (Hausskn) Pugsley.</td>
<td>28.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gallium aparine</em> L.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heliotropium europaeum</em> L.</td>
<td>20.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Launea procumbens</em> Roxb.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Malva neglecta</em> Wallr.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Malvastrum coromandelianum</em> (L.) Gareke.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oxalis corniculata L.        - - 17.19 - - - 15.6 12.43 - 5.02
Parthenium hysterophorus L. - - - - 11 - - 9.1 8.1 3.13 ...
Ricinus communis L. 27.57 - - - - 9.88 - - 9.2 - 2.12
Solanum nigrum L. 26.4 15.27 6.43 - - 18 - 13 8.79
Sonchus arvensis L. - - - - 20.06 14.3 11.66 - 11.8 5.08
Sonchus asper (L.) Hill. 26.31 19.2 13.1 - - 10.04 - 7.63
Sonchus auriculata L. 20.87 - - - - - - - 2.32
Sorghum halepense (L.) Persoon. - - 25.85 11.2 19.97 - 16.8 - 16.9 10.1
Stellaria media (L.) Cry. - - - - 19.79 - - 9.4 7 4.02
Taraxacum officinale Weber. - - 17.51 10.7 12.76 - - 6.97 - 5.33
Tribulus terrestris L. 18.26 - - 16.99 - - - - 3.92
Withania somnifera (L) Dunal. - - 15.22 10.09 - - - - 2.81
Xanthium strumarium L. 26.9 - - - - 12.42 - 16.74 10.5 7.4

4. DISCUSSION

The area of Shahbaz Gari is very important being a wild life habitat, water catchment and a livelihood source for nomadic and transhumant inhabitants. The distribution and community structure of plant life is governed by adverse edaphic and climatic factors; mainly by rainfall and redistribution of water that decrease with the increase in altitude. Temperature is also one of the most important limiting factors controlling the distribution and community structure of vegetation in research area. The research area is characterized by scanty rainfall, high ultraviolet (UV) radiation, high wind velocity, blizzards and high temperature. The plants of this zone show an adaptation to these conditions and are generally dwarfed, stunted, wooly or spiny, and develop a mosaic patch of different forms (Figures 5,6). They possess an early growth initiation with a tiny vegetative period ranging from several days to a few months. The hilly area is used for grazing throughout the year. The hilly areas are severely degraded due to nomadic and sedentary livestock overgrazing. Due to huge population increases and frighteningly increasing urbanization practices, existing reserve forests and grazing lands are overburdened with community rights making it impossible to reduce the grazing pressure (Figure 7).
Grazing practices are one of the important determinants of vegetation distribution patterns and having most obvious impact on the floral biodiversity of an area (Figure 8). In the present study, an effort has been made to investigate and analyse correlation of vegetative attributes of herbs and shrubs. Due to huge population increases and frighteningly increasing urbanization practices, existing reserve forests and grazing lands are overburdened with community rights making it impossible to reduce the grazing pressure [19, 20, 21, 22, 29]. Grazing practices are one of the important determinants of vegetation distribution patterns and having most obvious impact on the floral biodiversity of an area [22, 23].
The investigated area comprised of 48 species in 9 communities. The environmental factors, habitat and different plant life determined communities’ structure. Plants communities are useful in classification, naming and identification of vegetation structure. The author [24] stated that plant community structure interpret and analyze the plant life at diverse revelation and offer immediate information regarding plant life and are origin for deduction of future alteration. The author [8, 21, 22] evaluated the plant life reaction to ecological situation of open woodlands along an altitudinal and animal palatability preference. The factors which influenced plant life structure are unplanted settlements, overgrazing, erosion, land sliding, habitat destruction, poverty and anthropogenic activities. In research area, 9 plant communities were identified at various parts at different altitudes. The diverse plant communities documented in diverse seasons reflected different remains as recognized by [25]. Soil is essential that has continued life on earth and it also helps the plants’ growth that increased the competition of grazing animals and human. According to author [26, 22] stated that the distinctive habitation altered due to increasing human transportation and population. Other progression results into increased the expected attack of organism has been happening the world over. Plant life changed the physical and chemical properties of soil. It improves the soil infiltrations, structure and prevents erosion. The authors [8, 27, 28], described that the resources of soil is limited and its physical and chemical properties are restricted mostly by humus and clay. Several research works dealing with different features of plant life from diverse parts of the state have been taken out form time to time [16, 19, 21, 22, 29]. The investigated area presents a limited number of animal and plant species. Plant growth somewhat indirectly manipulated through soil structure. It also effects the seedling growth which is very sensitive to physical condition of soil texture. The rigid compacted layer slows down the growth of the seedling for root cannot penetrate easily in such soil.

5. CONCLUSION
The initial objective of this work was to show the correlation between plant species in the form of vegetation community structure in semi-arid environments. Although variations in the
relative proportions of herb and shrubs cover are likely to change the composite reflectance. Therefore, the relationship cannot be overturned and used to estimate variations in vegetation structure. Grazing practices need to be limited and monitored along with creating the awareness among the native about conservation and sustainable management of grasslands. Fenced vegetation plots should be designed at regular intervals to act as seed banks in whole area.
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