

Assessment of biomarker with special reference to antioxidant level in blood as occupational exposure of sewage and garage workers

Rajlaxmi Basu¹, Soumendra Nath Talapatra², Aniruddha Mukhopadhyay²,
Siddhartha Shankar Ray¹, Prantar Chakrabarti¹, Utpal Chaudhuri¹,
Sila Chakrabarti^{1,*}

¹ Institute of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, Medical College, Kolkata -700073, India

² Department of Environmental Science, University of Calcutta, Kolkata -700019, India

*E-mail address: shilachakrabarti2@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The present study deals with the estimation of antioxidant levels in blood of sewage and garage workers exposed to sewage water, washing water and vehicular air pollution compared with control group of population. The study areas were selected on the basis of drainage clearance and wards where workers are active as sewage workers. For garage workers, the garage selected was a large and busy one where continuous vehicles washing, repairing etc are carried out. These two exposed groups were compared to non-exposed group of population as control. The blood samples were collected from two groups of exposed population as well as control population and the antioxidant levels were estimated in blood. The present results clearly indicate that there was decreasing trend of the antioxidant level in blood for sewage and garage workers. In the control groups of population the antioxidant levels were found to be within normal range, but for sewage workers (0.14-0.36 mM) and garage workers (0.12-0.36 mM) the antioxidant levels were significantly lower. The range of antioxidant level in human blood is 0.5 to 2.0 mM. It was found to be significantly lower ($P < 0.001$ or 0.05) in addicted sewage and garage workers when compared with non-addicted groups. All the exposed groups were showed to be having significantly lower antioxidant level when compared to control groups. This study is a preliminary assessment to know the potent biomarkers for oxidative stress and estimation of antioxidant level in blood due to occupational exposure. This study shows a way for easy screening of biomarker assessment but further work is needed in relation to biochemical, enzymological and genetic damage study.

Keywords: Waste water; Occupational exposure; Human blood; Antioxidant level

1. INTRODUCTION

Sewage water in municipality drains has already been reported as genotoxic and mixed with small scale industrial effluent (Hough, 1997). Previous workers (Tiwari 2008) has already been documented that sewage water causes occupational health hazards in sewage and sanitary workers. Apart from organic pollutants sewage water is contaminated by different metals especially lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd). In urban area, large number of local

automobile repair shops, has led to increased Pb contamination in sewage water (Hertwin, 2013). Air pollutants mainly hydrocarbons, aromatic hydrocarbons, particulate matters etc. are generated in the automobile repairing shop (garage). These pollutants are also present in automobile waste water which is randomly handled by automobile workers. Epidemiological studies have demonstrated that increased levels of airborne particles are associated with adverse health effects, such as cancer, cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases (Brook et al., 2010). Health impact of water and air pollution depends on the pollutant type, its concentration in the water and air, length of exposure, other pollutants in the water and air, and individual susceptibility. Poor people, undernourished people, very young and very old, and people with pre-existing respiratory disease and other ill health are more at risk (Vichit-Vadakan et al., 2010).

The multiple defense system present in our body against damaging free radicals is collectively called as antioxidants and these can be easily measured (Gupta et al., 2009). Among the different mechanisms proposed to explain these adverse effects, the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the generation of oxidative stress is a matter of great concern. ROS include both oxygenated radicals and certain closed shell species that are oxidizing agents. Under normal coupling conditions in the mitochondrion, ROS are generated at low frequency and are easily neutralized by antioxidant defenses. However, in the presence of oxidants, such as following exposure to particles, heavy metals the natural antioxidant defenses may be.

Many studies have already been reported on oxidative stress by occupational exposure and increase of ROS level in blood (Penning et al., 1999; Gaetke and Chow, 2003; Riediker et al., 2004; Bergamini et al., 2009; Cuypers et al., 2010; Hertwin, 2013). The estimation of antioxidant level is a potent biomarker assessment in human model (Gupta et al., 2009). A number of studies have already been carried out on health impacts overwhelmed (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2007; Cuypers et al., 2010) by sewage water, washing water from vehicles and vehicular air pollution as different pollutants individually and/or in combination but no one has attempted easy screening of biomarker with special reference to estimate antioxidant level in blood of sewage and garage workers to know water and air pollutants exposure to human.

Though a number of studies have been carried out on effects of sewage water and garage effluents on human health, to the best of our knowledge this is the first effort to correlate the level of total antioxidants in blood of professionally exposed workers and thus determine their exposure status and potentially harmful effects on health in India.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The selected study area was southern part of Kolkata (India). The areas selected were ones, where drainage clearance and other conservancy work is good and ward workers are active too as sewage workers. For garage workers, the garage was selected where continuous vehicles washing, repairing are taken care of. These two areas were compared to non-exposed group of population.

Questionnaires and blood Collection

Following questionnaires was used for sewage workers, garage workers and non-exposed population as control group before collection of blood sample.

Questionnaires

1. Name
2. Age
3. Sex
4. Living area
5. Exact mode of work
6. Working duration /day
7. No. of years working
8. Medicinal history/Habit
9. Food habit
10. Family disease history
11. Addiction history and present status
12. Time of food inking
13. Working safety measure if maintain or not

Blood sample collection

The subjects to be eligible for the study must be Indian adult and should be been living for a long stretch of time (at least 5 years) in south Kolkata region and working strictly on drainage and garage work. Exposed (drainage and garage workers of Kolkata) and control population (living in the same area but never being exposed to such polluted and toxic water) were asked to answer questions in order to verify any possible additional exposure through ingestion of food, smoking, use of medicine, and any other relevant information. The information was particularly useful in the evaluations of final data.

The exposed male and female workers were selected for the experiment that were engaged in drainage and garage work (only male workers) but randomly handle sewage water and washing water every day and also take their food without proper hand washing especially during working hour. After Ethical committee clearance 5 ml of blood was drawn from each subject under proper medical supervision and care.

The blood obtained were kept in a citrate (3.8 %) container and stored in 4 °C refrigerators for further experiment. Similarly venous blood (5ml) was taken from healthy male as control group of population.

Estimation of antioxidant from blood samples

Antioxidant assay was used to measure the total antioxidant capacity of Plasma. Aqueous and lipid soluble antioxidants are not separated in this protocol, thus the combined antioxidant activities of all its constituents including vitamins, proteins, lipids, glutathione,

uric acid, etc are assessed. This assay relies on the ability of antioxidants in the sample to inhibit the oxidation of ABTS (2,2'-azino-di-[3-ethylbenzthiazoline sulphate]) to ABTS^{•+} by metmyoglobin. The amount of ABTS^{•+} produced can be monitored by reading the absorbance at 405 nm (13,14) the capacity of the antioxidant in the sample to prevent ABTS oxidation is compared with that of Trolox, a water soluble tocopherol analogue, and is quantified as millimolar Trolox equivalents. The collected blood was centrifuged at 1000g for 8 minutes at 4 °C. The yellow supernatant was pipette out without disturbing the white Buffy layer. This plasma was stored at -80 °C for further assay. Plasma was diluted 1:30 with assay buffer (5 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, containing 0.9 % sodium chloride and 0.1 % glucose) before assaying for garage workers. But in case of sewage and obviously for control group further dilution was applied because it was expected that these population may rich in antioxidant capacity. In sample well 10 µL of sample, 10 µL of Metmyoglobin and 150 µL of Chromogen was added. Reaction was initiated by adding 40 µL Hydrogen peroxide (441 µM working solution) and the absorbance was estimated in spectrophotometer.

Statistical analysis

All the mean values of data were analyzed to determine statistically significant differences between exposed and control groups as well as between garage and sewage exposed populations by using Student's t-test at 0.05 levels.

3. RESULTS

The range of antioxidant level in human blood is 0.5 to 2.0 mM. The present results clearly indicate that there was decreasing trend of the antioxidant level in blood for sewage and garage workers but in the control groups of population found within the range but in individual data there was found much lower the standard range for sewage workers (0.14 – 0.36 mM) and garage workers (0.12-0.36 mM) those who are addicted but in both the occupations, workers without addiction were also found decline level (Table 1, 2 & 3). It was found significant changes ($P < 0.001$, 0.01 or 0.05) in addicted sewage and garage workers when compared with non-addicted groups. All the exposed groups were showed significantly declining the antioxidant level when compared to control groups (Table 4).

It was observed in Table 4, the level of antioxidant in blood decreased significantly ($P < 0.001$) with a value of 0.26 ± 0.09 mM in garage workers followed by sewage workers (0.35 ± 0.11 mM) as occupational exposure along with addiction when compared with control groups (0.77 ± 0.04 mM). All the data were generated separately for male, female and cumulative groups. But in case of addicted group, addicted females were not found in control population and no females were worked as garage workers. for both addicted and non-addicted groups of population while in non-addicted groups of population there was the value of antioxidant level in blood also decreased significantly ($P < 0.001$) in garage workers (0.39 ± 0.06 mM) followed by sewage workers (0.49 ± 0.10 mM) when compared with control groups (1.07 ± 0.25 mM) as same in manner like male, female and cumulative groups.

The comparison between male, female and cumulative groups the values were not found statistically significant. When the comparison made between sewage (0.35 ± 0.11 and 0.49 ± 0.10 mM) and garage workers (0.26 ± 0.09 and 0.39 ± 0.06 mM), the cumulative values were found statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) for both addicted groups as well as non addicted groups.

Table 1. Antioxidant level in human blood exposed to sewage water from sewage.

Sl. No.	Sex	Age	Working years	Vegetables and fruits intake	Animal proteins intake	Addiction	Antioxidant level (mM)
1	Female	50	40	Regularly	Casually	Tobacco	0.3
2	Female	50	40	Casually	Regularly	Tobacco	0.3
3	Female	32	8	no	Regularly	None	0.35
4	Female	40	20	Regularly	Casually	None	0.44
5	Female	35	2	Casually	Regularly	Tobacco	0.42
6	Female	55	20	Regularly	None	Occasionally Tobacco	0.42
7	Female	45	27	Sometimes	Sometimes	None	0.63
8	Female	35	8	Casually	Regularly	None	0.5
9	Female	45	25	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.52
10	Female	35	10	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.55
11	Female	35	1	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.47
12	Female	24	1	Rarely	Regularly	Tobacco	0.45
13	Female	50	20	Casually	Regularly	Tobacco	0.48
14	Female	35	10	Casually	Regularly	None	0.51
15	Male	49	27	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.14
16	Male	50	20	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.15
17	Male	47	18	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.26
18	Male	47	20	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.25
19	Male	44	23	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.21
20	Male	53	33	Regularly	None	None	0.61
21	Male	34	1	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.63
22	Male	54	28	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.28
23	Male	50	32	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.21
24	Male	32	10	Rarely	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.32
25	Male	56	36	Regularly	Regularly	Tobacco	0.33
26	Male	48	20	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol	0.29
27	Male	50	30	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.25
28	Male	44	11	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol, tobacco	0.3
29	Male	39	1	Regularly	None	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.53

30	Male	40	19	Casually	Regularly	None	0.3
31	Male	48	28	Rarely	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.2
32	Male	41	12	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.33
33	Male	31	1	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.44
34	Male	40	11	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.27
35	Male	26	1	Rarely	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.51
36	Male	45	20	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.48
37	Male	38	18	Rarely	Regularly	Alcohol	0.31
38	Male	22	4	Rarely	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.5
39	Male	19	1	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.49
40	Male	27	8	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.55
41	Male	42	22	Regularly	Regularly	Tobacco	0.45
42	Male	52	25	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.49
43	Male	46	22	Casually	Regularly	None	0.57
44	Male	42	40	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.61
45	Male	35	6	Regularly	Regularly	Tobacco	0.46
46	Male	23	1	Regularly	Regularly	Tobacco	0.56
47	Male	50	18	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.46
48	Male	30	11	Casually	Regularly	Tobacco	0.57
49	Male	55	40	Rarely	Regularly	Tobacco	0.21
50	Male	22	2	Regularly	None	None	0.61
51	Male	42	20	Rarely	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.2
52	Male	40	10	Regularly	None	Alcohol	0.49
53	Male	42	20	None	Regularly	None	0.25
54	Male	36	16	None	Regularly	Tobacco	0.2
55	Male	33	6	Rarely	Regularly	Tobacco	0.34
56	Male	34	8	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.25
57	Male	42	15	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.36
58	Male	52	30	Rarely	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.3

Table 2. Antioxidant level in human blood exposed to washing water and air pollutants from garage.

Sl. No.	Sex	Age	Working years	Vegetables and fruits intake	Animal proteins intake	Addiction	Antioxidant level (mM)
1	Male	19	2months	Casually	Regularly	None	0.46
2	Male	39	15	Regularly	Regularly	Tobacco, Alcohol	0.12
3	Male	29	1.5	Regularly	Regularly	Tobacco	0.42
4	Male	31	5	Regularly	None	Tobacco, Alcohol	0.47
5	Male	26	3	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.4
6	Male	23	3	Regularly	None	Tobacco	0.42
7	Male	24	8	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.41
8	Male	34	6	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.46
9	Male	24	7 months	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.44
10	Male	40	25	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.29
11	Male	38	20	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.32
12	Male	30	3	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.36
13	Male	29	9	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.33
14	Male	26	4	Regularly	Regularly	Tobacco	0.32
15	Male	28	2	None	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.26
16	Male	24	2	Regularly	Regularly	Tobacco	0.29
17	Male	26	6	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.33
18	Male	45	18	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.37
19	Male	46	15	Casually	Regularly	Alcohol	0.32
20	Male	18	2	very rare	Regularly	Tobacco	0.37
21	Male	38	14	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.17
22	Male	30	9	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.12
23	Male	18	5	rarely	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.25
24	Male	30	14	Regularly	Regularly	None	0.46
25	Male	20	7 months	Rarely	Regularly	None	0.42
26	Male	20	1	Rarely	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.36
27	Male	30	10	Rarely	Regularly	Alcohol	0.17
28	Male	26	3	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol, Tobacco	0.3

Table 3. Antioxidant level in human blood of control groups.

Sl. No.	Sex	Age	Working types	Vegetables and fruits intake	Animal proteins intake	Addiction	Antioxidant level (mM)
1	Male	24	House staff	Regularly	Regularly	no	0.96
2	Male	20	Student	Regularly	Regularly	no	0.95
3	Male	27	Doctor	Regularly	Regularly	no	0.83
4	Male	25	Student	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol	0.79
5	Male	23	Student	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol	0.74
6	Male	32	Employee	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol	0.83
7	Male	19	Student	Regularly	Regularly	no	1.6
8	Male	19	Student	Regularly	Regularly	no	1.16
9	Male	19	Student	Regularly	Regularly	no	1.4
10	Male	20	Student	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol	0.74
11	Male	21	Student	Regularly	Regularly	Alcohol	0.74
12	Male	22	Student	Regularly	Regularly	no	1.06
13	Female	30	Researcher	Regularly	Regularly	no	1.3
14	Female	28	Student	Regularly	Regularly	no	1.3
15	Female	30	Researcher	Regularly	Regularly	no	1.38
16	Female	28	Researcher	Regularly	No	no	1.32
17	Female	30	Researcher	Regularly	Regularly	no	1.2
18	Female	30	Researcher	Regularly	Regularly	no	1
19	Female	20	Student	Regularly	Regularly	no	1.1

Table 4. Antioxidant level in human blood of exposed groups compared to control groups of population (^aP < 0.001, ^bP < 0.05).

Sex	Addiction			Without Addiction		
	Antioxidant level (mM)			Antioxidant level (mM)		
	Control (M-n = 5; F-n = 0; C-n = 5)	Sewage (M-n = 31; F-n = 6; C-n = 37)	Garage (M-n = 17; F-n = 0; C-n = 17)	Control (M-n = 7; F-n = 7; C-n = 14)	Sewage (n = 21)	Garage (n = 10)
Male (M ± SD)	0.77 ± 0.04	0.40 ± 0.13 ^a	0.26 ± 0.09 ^a	1.21 ± 0.27	0.46 ± 0.14 ^a	0.39 ± 0.06 ^a
Female (M ± SD)	0.00	0.39 ± 0.07 ^a	Nil	1.20 ± 0.14	0.50 ± 0.08 ^a	Nil
Cumulative (M ± SD)	0.77 ± 0.04	0.35 ± 0.11 ^a	0.26 ± 0.09 ^{ab}	1.07 ± 0.25	0.49 ± 0.10 ^a	0.39 ± 0.06 ^{ab}

M = Male; F = Female; C = Cumulative

4. DISCUSSION

The present study of that individual and/or combination of pollutant(s) brought significant decreases the level of antioxidant in both addicted and non addicted sewage and garage workers when compared to control group of population.

The adverse impacts of wastewater in sewage, washing water and air pollution from garage on occupationally exposed groups have already been studied nationally and internationally. Major research works have been conducted on the physical and chemical analysis of water and air pollutant by using various instruments. The health impacts with special reference to antioxidant level of human blood by occupationally exposed have been done internationally (Nadif et al., 1998; Penning et al., 1999; Gaetke and Chow, 2003; Riediker et al., 2004; Bergamini et al., 2009; Cuypers et al., 2010; Hertwin, 2013) but few works have been done in India (Tiwari, 2008) but many researchers have been documented on physico-chemical analysis of wastewater and air pollutants, no one has tried to establish biomarker study in relation to blood antioxidant level in blood of occupationally exposed groups of population at Kolkata, India.

Sewage water in municipality drain has already been reported to be genotoxic and it often to be mixed with small scale industrial effluent (Hough, 1997). Tiwari (2008) has already been documented that sewage water causes occupational health hazards in sewage and sanitary workers. Apart from organic pollutants sewage water contaminated by different metals especially lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd). In urban area, large number of local automobile repairing shops has led to increased Pb contamination in sewage water (Hertwin, 2013). Air pollutants mainly hydrocarbons, aromatic hydrocarbons, particulate matters etc. are generated in the automobile repairing shop (garage).

The present results have close similarities with other research works (Li et al., 2003; Ademuyiwa et al., 2005; Valco et al., 2006; Hertwin, 2013) in relation to oxidative stress that reduced antioxidant level in blood by occupational exposure when compared to control population (Table 1, 2, 3 & 4). The range of antioxidant level in human blood is 0.5 to 2.0 mM. It was found significant changes ($P < 0.001$ or 0.05) in addicted sewage and garage workers when compared with non-addicted groups.

All the exposed groups were showed significantly declining the antioxidant level when compared to control groups. It was observed in Table 4, the level of antioxidant in blood decreased significantly ($P < 0.001$) in garage workers followed by sewage workers as occupational exposure along with addiction when compared with control groups. In non-addicted groups of population there was the value of antioxidant level in blood also decreased significantly ($P < 0.001$) in garage workers followed by sewage workers when compared with control groups.

The comparison between male, female and cumulative groups the values were not found statistically significant. When the comparison made between sewage and garage workers, the cumulative values were found to be statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) for both addicted groups as well as non addicted groups. It is an evident from other research works that Cd and Pb in sewage water as well as hydrocarbons, aromatic hydrocarbons, particulate matters etc. in garage ambient air may have the damaging of free radicals and declining the antioxidant level in blood of human (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2007; Cuypers et al., 2010). It was also noted that occupational exposure along with addiction (tobacco and alcohol) and food habit may have led more susceptible to oxidative stress, the results of the present study supported by observations of previous workers (Nadif et al., 1998 and Elena et al., 2013).

5. CONCLUSIONS

In the present study it was concluded that the alarming effects on the antioxidant level in blood of occupationally exposed found significantly decreasing trends when compared to control groups of population (Penning et al., 1999; Hertwin, 2013), which may be the effects of individual and/or combination of water and air pollutants, though there no attempt has been made on physico-chemical properties of present water and air pollutants. This study is a preliminary assessment to know the potent biomarkers for oxidative stress and estimation of antioxidant level in blood due to occupational exposure. This study helps to know easy screening of biomarker assessment (Gupta et al., 2009) but further researches are needed in relation to biochemical, enzymological and genetic damage study. It was also observed the decreasing trends of antioxidant level in blood of exposed group along with addiction and food habit, which may have led to damage antioxidant defenses (Nadif et al., 1998; Cuypers et al., 2010).

Acknowledgement

The authors convey their gratitude to the Institute of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, Medical College, for providing the necessary infrastructure for doing this study.

References

- [1] Ademuyiwa O., R. N. Ugbaja, D. A. Ojo, A. O. Owoigbe, S. E. Adeokun, *Environ. Toxicol. and Pharmacol.* 20 (2005) 404-401.
- [2] Bergamini C., Cicoira M, Rossi A, Vassanelli C. (2009) *Eur J Heart Failure*, 11:444-452.
- [3] Brook R. D., Rajagopalan S., Pope A., Brook J. R., Bhatnagar A., Diez-Roux A. V., Holguin F., Hong Y., Luepker R. V., Mittleman M. A., Peters A., Siscovick D., Smith S. C., Whitsel L., Kaufman J. D., *Circulation* 121 (2010) 2331-2378.
- [4] Cuypers A., M. Plusquin, T. Remans, et al., *Biometals* 23(5) (2010) 927-40.
- [5] Elena I. Korotkova, Wolfhardt Freinbichler, Wolfgang Linert, Elena V. Dorozhko, Mariya V. Bukkel, Evgeniy V. Plotnikov and Olesya A. Voronova, *Molecules*. 18 (2013) 1811-1818.
- [6] Gaetke L. M, Chow C. K., *Toxicology* 189 (2003) 147-163.
- [7] Gupta R., Sharma M., Ramakrishnan L., Prabhakaran D., Reddy K. S., *Journal of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 46 (2009) 126-129.
- [8] Halliwell B., Gutteridge J. M. C. (2007). In *Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine*. 4th edition. Edited by Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC. New York: Oxford University Press; 187-267.
- [9] Hertwin A., *Met Ions Life Sci.* 11 (2013) 491-507.
- [10] Houh V. S., *Mutat. Res.* 277 (1992) 91-138.
- [11] Li N., Sioutas C., Cho A., Schmitz D., Misra C., Sempf J., Wang M., Oberley T., Froines J., Nel A., *Environ Health Perspect* 111 (2003) 455-60.

-
- [12] Miller N. J., Rice-Evans C., Davis M. J., *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 21 (1993) 95S.
- [13] Miller N. J., Rice-Evans C., *Free Rad. Res.* 26 (1997) 195-199.
- [14] Miller N., Rice-Evans C., Davis M. J., et al., *Clin. Sci.* 84 (1993) 407-412.
- [15] Nadif R., Diallo L., Mayer L., Dusch M., Porcher J. M., Schneider P., Urschel M., Mur J. M., Auburtin G., *Am J Ind Med. Sep* 34(3) (1990) 272-279.
- [16] Penning T., Burczynski M., Hung C., McCoull K., Palackal N., Tsuruda L., *Chem Res Toxicol.* 12 (1999) 1-18.
- [17] Riediker M., Devlin R. B., Griggs T. R., Herbst M. C., Bromberg P. A., Williams R. W., Cascio W. E., *Particle Fibre Toxicol.* 1 (2004) 2.
- [18] Tiwari Rajnarayan R., *Indian J Occup Environ Med.* 12(3) (2008) 112-115.
- [19] Valkom M., Rhodes C. J., Moncol J., Izakovic M., Mazur M., *Chem Biol Interact* 160 (2006) 231-137.
- [20] Vichit-Vadakan N., Vajanapoom N., Ostro B., *Res Rep Health Eff Inst* 154 (2010) 231-268.

(Received 16 April 2014; accepted 23 April 2014)