

Study of temperature of black holes in terms of Chandrasekhar limit

Dipo Mahto^{1,a}, Brajesh Kumar Jha^{2,b}, Krishna Murari Singh^{1,c}, Mahendra Ram^{3,d}

¹Department of Physics, Marwari College, T.M.B.U., Bhagalpur, India

²University Department of Physics, L.N.M.U. Darbhanga, India

³Department of Physics, G. L. A. PG College, N.P.U. Daltanganj, India

^{a-d}E-mail address: dipomahto@hotmail.com , brajeshjha111@gmail.com ,
kmsinghphy@gmail.com , prof.m.ram23@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Stephen Hawking gave the formula for the temperature for the black holes as $T = \frac{\hbar}{8\pi kGM}$.

In the present research article, we have converted this formula in terms of Chandrasekhar limit [M_{ch}] and also calculated their values for different test black holes existing in XRBs and AGN.

$$T = 3.165 \left(\frac{M_{ch}}{M} \right) \times 10^{20} \text{ Kelvin.}$$

Keywords: black holes; formula for the temperature; Hawking; Einstein

1. INTRODUCTION

A black hole is a solution of Einstein's gravitational field equations in the absence of matter that describes the space time around a gravitationally collapsed star. Its gravitational pull is so strong that even light cannot escape from it [1,2].

In classical theory black holes can only absorb and not emit particles. However, it is shown that quantum mechanical effects cause black holes to create and emit particles as if they were hot bodies with temperature $(M_{\bullet} / M) \times 10^{-6} \kappa$, where κ is surface gravity of black holes and k is the Boltzmann constant. This thermal emission leads to show a decrease in the mass of the black hole and to its eventual disappearance: any primordial black hole of mass less than about 10^{15} gram would have evaporated by now [3].

Hawking (1974, 1975) introduced what is now called Hawking radiation as the effective black body radiation from a black hole in terms of the 4th power of the black hole temperature and the Stefan-Boltzmann constant [3,4]. Stephan Hawking provided a theoretical argument for its existence in 1974, and sometimes also after the physicist Jacob Bekenstein who predicted that black holes should have a finite, non-zero temperature and entropy and also stated that it is proportional to the black hole area A [5].

Later on, Bardeen, Carter and Hawking performed calculations using a semi-classical approximation, putting Bekenstein conjecture on a firm basis. They established that the black

hole temperature is proportional to its surface gravity [6]. Silva proposed intuitive derivations of the Hawking temperature and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a Schwarzschild black hole [7].

Ved Prakash et al. has discussed the statistical analysis of lifetime and temperature of the black holes have been studied existing in X-ray binaries and active galactic nuclei[8]. Mehta et al. derived an expression for the variation of temperature of the black holes with respect to mass and also calculated their values of different test black holes existing only in X-ray binaries [9].

The present research article discusses the conversion of Stephen Hawking temperature of black holes as given by formula $T = \frac{\hbar}{8\pi kGM}$ Kelvin in terms of Chandrasekhar limit [M_{ch}] and also calculates their values of different black holes existing in XRBs and AGN.

2. DISCUSSION

Silva proposed intuitive derivations of the Hawking temperature of a black hole of mass M in terms of fundamental parameters is given by the following equation [7]:

$$T = \frac{\hbar}{8\pi kGM} \text{ Kelvin} \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

The solar mass M_{\bullet} in terms of S.I. units is given by 1.99×10^{30} kg [2].

The temperature of a black hole of mass M in terms of solar mass can be written by using the values of \hbar , c , and k G in the above equation and solving, we have

$$T = 4.557 \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{M} \right) \times 10^{21} \text{ Kelvin} \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

The above equation can be written as

$$T = 4.557 \left(\frac{1.44M_{\bullet}}{1.44M} \right) \times 10^{21} \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

$$T = 3.165 \left(\frac{1.44M_{\bullet}}{M} \right) \times 10^{21} \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

Now we shall convert the above expression in terms of the Chandrasekhar limit (M_{ch}) and defined as the maximum mass of a white dwarf star, about 1.4 times the mass of the Sun. Above this mass, the gravitational pull becomes too great, and the star must collapse to a neutron star or black hole [10]. i.e.

$$M_{ch} = 1.44M_{\bullet} \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

Using the equation (6) into equation (5), we have

$$T = 3.165 \left(\frac{M_{ch}}{M} \right) \times 10^{21} \text{ Kelvin} \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

3. DATA IN SUPPORT OF MASS OF BLACK HOLES

There are two categories of black holes classified on the basis of their masses clearly very distinct from each other, with very different masses $M \sim 5 - 20 M_{\odot}$ for stellar – mass black holes in X-ray binaries and $M \sim 10^6 - 10^{9.5} M_{\odot}$ for super massive black holes in galactic nuclei [11-13].

The mass of spinning black holes in terms of Chandrasekhar limit corresponding to the masses $M \sim 5 - 20 M_{\odot}$ for stellar – mass black holes in X-ray binaries are $3 M_{ch}$ to $13 M_{ch}$ and for the masses $M \sim 10^6 - 10^{9.5} M_{\odot}$ in super massive black holes in the active galactic nuclei are $1 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$ to $3 \times 10^9 M_{ch}$.

On the basis of eqn(7), we have calculated temperature of black hole in XRBs and AGN in the Table 1 & 2 respectively.

Table 1. Temperature of black hole in XRBs.

S. No	Mass of black holes in terms of M_{ch}	$T = 3.165 \left(\frac{M_{ch}}{M} \right) \times 10^{20}$ Kelvin	$ \log \Gamma $
1	$3 M_{ch}$	10.550×10^{20}	21.0232
2	$4 M_{ch}$	7.912×10^{20}	20.8982
3	$5 M_{ch}$	6.330×10^{20}	20.8014
4	$6 M_{ch}$	5.275×10^{20}	20.7222
5	$7 M_{ch}$	4.521×10^{20}	20.6552
6	$8 M_{ch}$	3.956×10^{20}	20.5972
7	$9 M_{ch}$	3.516×10^{20}	20.5460
8	$10 M_{ch}$	3.165×10^{20}	20.5003
9	$11 M_{ch}$	2.872×10^{20}	20.4581
10	$12 M_{ch}$	2.637×10^{20}	20.4211
11	$13 M_{ch}$	2.434×10^{20}	20.3863

Table 2. Temperature of black hole in AGN.

S. No.	Mass of black holes in terms of Chandrasekhar limit (M_{ch})	$\log M_{ch}$	$T = 3.165 \left(\frac{M_{ch}}{M} \right) \times 10^{20}$ kelvin	$\log T$
1	$1 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$	6.0000	3.165×10^{14}	14.5003
2	$2 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$	6.3010	1.580×10^{14}	14.1986
3	$3 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$	6.4771	1.055×10^{14}	14.0232
4	$4 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$	6.6020	0.791×10^{14}	13.8990
5	$5 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$	6.6989	0.633×10^{14}	13.8014
6	$6 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$	6.7781	0.527×10^{14}	13.7218
7	$7 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$	6.8450	0.452×10^{14}	13.6551
8	$8 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$	6.9030	0.395×10^{14}	13.5965
9	$9 \times 10^6 M_{ch}$	6.9542	0.351×10^{14}	13.5453
10	$1 \times 10^7 M_{ch}$	7.0000	3.165×10^{13}	13.5003
11	$2 \times 10^7 M_{ch}$	7.3010	1.580×10^{13}	13.1986
12	$3 \times 10^7 M_{ch}$	7.4771	1.055×10^{13}	13.0232
13	$4 \times 10^7 M_{ch}$	7.6020	0.791×10^{13}	12.8990
14	$5 \times 10^7 M_{ch}$	7.6989	0.633×10^{13}	12.8014
15	$6 \times 10^7 M_{ch}$	7.7781	0.527×10^{13}	12.7218
16	$7 \times 10^7 M_{ch}$	7.8450	0.452×10^{13}	12.6551
17	$8 \times 10^7 M_{ch}$	7.9030	0.395×10^{13}	12.5965
18	$9 \times 10^7 M_{ch}$	7.9542	0.351×10^{13}	12.5453
19	$1 \times 10^8 M_{ch}$	8.0000	3.165×10^{12}	12.5003
20	$2 \times 10^8 M_{ch}$	8.3010	1.580×10^{12}	12.1986
21	$3 \times 10^8 M_{ch}$	8.4771	1.055×10^{12}	12.0232
22	$4 \times 10^8 M_{ch}$	8.6020	0.791×10^{12}	11.8990

23	$5 \times 10^8 M_{\text{ch}}$	8.6989	0.633×10^{12}	11.8014
24	$6 \times 10^8 M_{\text{ch}}$	8.7781	0.527×10^{12}	11.7218
25	$7 \times 10^8 M_{\text{ch}}$	8.8450	0.452×10^{12}	11.6551
26	$8 \times 10^8 M_{\text{ch}}$	8.9030	0.395×10^{12}	11.5965
27	$9 \times 10^8 M_{\text{ch}}$	8.9542	0.351×10^{12}	11.5453
28	$1 \times 10^9 M_{\text{ch}}$	9.0000	3.165×10^{11}	11.5003
29	$2 \times 10^9 M_{\text{ch}}$	9.3010	1.580×10^{11}	11.1986
30	$3 \times 10^9 M_{\text{ch}}$	9.4771	1.055×10^{11}	11.0232

Graph 1:




Fig. 1. The graph plotted betⁿ the mass of black holes and their corresponding temperature in XRBs.

Graph 2:




Fig. 2. The graph plotted betⁿ the mass of black holes and their corresponding temperature in AGN.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present work, we have converted the formula for temperature of black holes in terms of Chandrasekhar limit and calculated their values for the different test black holes in X-ray binaries (XRBs) and Active galactic nuclei (AGN). To discuss the temperature of black holes with the mass (M_{ch}), graphs have been plotted between:

- (i) the mass of different test black holes(M_{ch}) and their corresponding values of temperature in XRBs (Fig. 1)
- (ii) mass of different test black holes(M_{ch}) and their corresponding values of temperature using logarithmic scale in AGN (Fig. 2)

The Figure 1 shows that the temperature of black holes decreases with the increase of the mass of the different test black holes in XRBs, while in the Figure 2, the variation of temperature of black holes with the mass in the case of AGN is not be the same to that of XRBs, but differing in the some manner.

In this case, the temperature of black holes decreases with increase in the mass of black holes. From the graph, it is clear that the nature of variation of temperature of black holes with their corresponding mass in AGN is repeated in the same manner after certain different mass. These certain different masses are $10^6 M_{\text{ch}}$, $10^7 M_{\text{ch}}$, $10^8 M_{\text{ch}}$ and $10^9 M_{\text{ch}}$.

These data of masses of black holes are in the multiple of ten (10) and hence these masses have special characters.

5. CONCLUSIONS

During the study of present research work, we can draw the following conclusions such as:

(i) The temperature of black holes decreases with the increase in the mass of the different test black holes in XRBs showing that heavier mass of black hole has smaller temperature and vice-versa.

(ii) The nature of variation of the temperature of black holes with their corresponding mass in AGN is repeated in the same manner after certain different mass.

(iii) The mass of black holes in the multiple of ten (10) has special character.

References

- [1] Dabholkar A., *Current Science* 89(12) (2005) 2054-2063.
- [2] Mahto D., Prakash V., Prasad U., Singh B. K., Singh K. M., *Astrophysics Space Science* 343(1) (2013) 153-159.
- [3] Hawking S. W., *Commun Math. Phys.* 43 (1975) 199-220.
- [4] Hawking S.W., *Nature* 248 (1974) 30-31.
- [5] Hawking S. W., *Bantam Books* (1988). ISBN 0-553-38016-8.
- [6] Bardeen J. M., Carter B., Hawking S. W., *Commun. Math. Phy.* 31(2) (1973) 161-170.
- [7] Silva P. R., *General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology*, 2006.
- [8] Prakash V., Mahto D., Kumar A. & Das B. K., *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics* 2(6) (2013) 228-232.
- [9] Mehta R. N., Prasad U., Kumar A., Mahto D, *International Journal of Astrophysics and Space Science* 1(4) 2013) 61-63.
- [10] Carroll S., Ph.D., Cal Tech, 2007, *The Teaching Company*, Guidebook Part 2, page 44, Accessed Oct. 7, 2013.
- [11] R. Narayan, *New Journal Physics* 7(1) (2005) 1-31.

- [12] Dipo Mahto, Tanveer Ali Khan, Ashok Kumar, Raj Kumar Sah, *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 4 (2014) 12-19.
- [13] Dipo Mahto, Amresh Kumar Singh, Kumari Vineeta, Ashok Kumar, *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 13(2) (2014) 95-103.

(Received 09 October 2014; accepted 17 October 2014)