

Ultrasonic assisted synthesis, acoustical property and antibacterial activity of some Schiff bases

R. Premalatha, N. Santhi*

Department of Chemistry, Government Arts College, C-Mutlur - 608 102, Chidambaram, India

*Tel.: +91 4144 238292

*E-mail address: nsaaanthi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

An efficient, simple clean synthesis of Schiff bases of some 4-nitroaniline/ 2,4-dinitroaniline/ 3,4-dichloroaniline / 4-methoxy-3-nitro aniline with 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde were done by an ultrasound irradiation method. The major advantages of ultra-sonication are short reaction time, operational simplicity, high yield, easy workup and environment friendly procedure. The isolated compounds obtained by ultrasound irradiation method were characterized by UV, IR and NMR spectral data. These acoustical parameters were determined. Furthermore their antibacterial activities are screened against certain strains of bacteria and showed significant anti-microbial activity.

Keywords: Substituted anilines; 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde; Schiff bases; ultrasonication; acoustical parameters and antimicrobial activity

1. INTRODUCTION

Compounds containing azomethine group ($-C=N-$) usually known as Schiff bases which have been synthesized by the condensation of primary amines with active carbonyls compounds such as aldehydes or ketones. Schiff bases form a significant class of compounds in medicinal and pharmaceutical chemistry with several biological applications which includes antibacterial¹⁻³, antifungal⁴ and antitumor activities⁵. Conventionally, Schiff's bases can be prepared by refluxing the primary amines and aldehyde/ketone in an organic solvents and presence of traces of acid or base. Sonochemistry is one of the green chemistry research area in which molecules undergo a reaction due to the application of powerful ultrasonic radiation. The ultrasound irradiation is a powerful technique⁶ for establishing unique chemical and physical conditions, such as a local increase in temperature of several thousands of Kelvins and pressure by several bars by which reaction proceeds. This method has several advantages such as higher atom economy, energy efficiency, environmental friendly, waste and hazards minimization etc. The above findings by various group of scientist stimulated us to synthesize new Schiff bases using classical as well as green technique.


Further, it provides a wealth of information about molecular interactions, nature and strength of interactions. In recent years, much effort has been made to study ultrasonic properties of liquid mixtures⁷⁻¹⁰. However, scanty work has been done for solutions of organic compounds. In the last few years, our investigation groups have carried out some studies on acoustical properties of organic compounds in various solvents¹¹⁻¹⁶. In continuation of these

investigations, the present paper reports acoustical properties of Schiff bases in ethanol at 303.15 K and their antibacterial activities.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

All the chemicals 2-nitroaniline, 2,4-dinitroaniline, 3,4-dichloroaniline, 4-methoxy-3-nitro aniline and 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde were procured from Sigma-Aldrich, India, Bangalore. All the solvents were of analytical grade and were distilled before use. Melting points of the synthesized compounds were determined in open capillaries on melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra (KBr, 4000-400 cm^{-1}) have been recorded on SHIMADZU Fourier transform spectrophotometer. The NMR spectra were recorded in Bruker AMX 500 NMR spectrometer operating at 5400 MHz has been utilized for recording ^1H NMR spectra and 100 MHz for ^{13}C spectra in CDCl_3 solvent using TMS as internal standard. Ultra-sonication was done at 3.5L 100 at Govt. Arts College, Chidambaram, Tamilnadu.

An appropriate equimolar quantity of the following substituted anilines (0.01 mol) with 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzaldehyde (0.01 mol) and absolute ethanol (10 ml) were taken in 150 ml conical flask. To this mixture, glacial acetic acid (1 drop) was added. The mixture was partially submerged in the sonic bath¹⁷ at room temperature at 25-30 °C as shown in Fig. 1. The completion of the reaction was monitored by TLC and the mixture was cooled at room temperature. The resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with cold water. The product appeared as pale yellow solids. Then this was recrystallized using ethanol to obtain pale yellow glittering solid.



Where, R = 4-Nitro phenyl; 2,4-dinitro phenyl; 3,4-dichloro phenyl and 4-methoxy-3-nitro phenyl

Fig. 1. Spectroscopic data of synthesized compounds.

(i) (E)-N-(3-bromo-4-fluorobenzylidene)-4-nitrobenzenamine (4N):

UV (λ_{max}): 313.0 nm; IR (vcm^{-1}): 1622.13 (C=N), 3064.89 ($\text{CH}_{str.}$), 1564.27 ($\text{Phenyl}_{str.}$), 1465.90 ($\text{CH}_{ben.}$); ^1H NMR (δ_{ppm}): 8.776 (s, 1H) (CH=N); ^{13}C NMR (δ_{ppm}): 160.79 (C=N).

(ii) (E)-N-(3-bromo-4-fluorobenzylidene)-2,4-dinitrobenzenamine (DN):

UV (λ_{max}): 310.4 nm; IR (vcm^{-1}): 1620.21 (C=N), 3062.96 ($\text{CH}_{str.}$), 1568.13 ($\text{Phenyl}_{str.}$), 1465.90 ($\text{CH}_{ben.}$); ^1H NMR (δ_{ppm}): 8.386 (s, 1H) (CH=N); ^{13}C NMR (δ_{ppm}): 161.906 (C=N).

(iii) (E)-N-(3-bromo-4-fluorobenzylidene)-3,4-dichlorobenzeneamine (DC):

UV(λ_{max}): 328.2 nm; IR (vcm^{-1}): 1618.28 (C=N), 3076.46 ($\text{CH}_{str.}$), 1570.06 ($\text{Phenyl}_{str.}$), 1514.12 ($\text{CH}_{ben.}$); ^1H NMR (δ_{ppm}): 8.610 (s, 1H) (CH=N); ^{13}C NMR (δ_{ppm}): 162.550 (C=N).

(iv) (E)-N-(3-bromo-4-fluorobenzylidene)-4-methoxy-3-nitrobenzeneamine (4MN):

UV (λ_{max}): 345.8 nm; IR (vcm^{-1}): 1624.06 (C=N), 3078.39 ($\text{CH}_{str.}$), 1568.13 ($\text{Phenyl}_{str.}$), 1465.90 ($\text{CH}_{ben.}$); ^1H NMR (δ_{ppm}): 8.668 (s, 1H) (CH=N), 3.329 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (δ_{ppm}): 163.455 (C=N), 55.570 (-OCH₃).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**3. 1. Molecular interactions**

The density (ρ), viscosity (η) and sound velocity (U) of pure solvents and different Schiff bases solutions in ethanol are reported in Table 1 at 303.15 K. The calculated parameters of all compounds were also given in Table 2.

Table 1. Variation of Ultrasonic velocity, Density and Viscosity parameters with various concentrations of Schiff bases at 303.15 K in ethanol.

Compounds	Concentration (M)	Ultrasonic velocity (U) (m/s)	Density (ρ) (g/m^3)	Viscosity (η) (mPa.s)
4N	0.01	1014.8	0.8005	0.5483
	0.03	1023.6	0.8024	0.5644
	0.05	1027.4	0.8102	0.5713
	0.07	1031.7	0.8181	0.5801
DN	0.01	1017.0	0.8151	0.5419
	0.03	1024.4	0.8246	0.5504
	0.05	1091.7	0.8281	0.559
	0.07	1108.2	0.8304	0.5708
DC	0.01	1077.6	0.8027	0.6030
	0.03	1117.7	0.8031	0.6224
	0.05	1130.4	0.8043	0.6341
	0.07	1151.6	0.8061	0.6472
4MN	0.01	1304.0	0.7874	0.7324
	0.03	1368.3	0.7912	0.7384
	0.05	1412.6	0.8064	0.7414
	0.07	1493.1	0.8112	0.7491

From Table 1, it is evident that the ultrasonic velocities, densities and viscosities of all the four Schiff bases were increases with respect to concentration. The increasing tendency of

such parameters indicates the close association between solute and solvent molecules. These variations are shown in Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.




Fig. 2. Variation of Ultrasonic velocity (U) with respect to concentration (M).




Fig. 3. Variation of Density (ρ) with respect to concentration (M).




Fig. 4. Variation of Viscosity (η) with respect to concentration (M).

This increase in ultrasonic velocity suggests powerful solute-solvent interactions. As density increase number of particles in given region is increased, this leads to quick transfer of sound velocity and hence velocity increases with increase in concentration, this result is according to Kharkale t. al¹⁸.

The density increases with increasing concentration. The increase of concentration results in increase in number of particles in given region which leads to shrinkage in volume of solution and hence density increases with increase in concentration¹⁹. Also viscosity increases linearly with concentration and it indicates the existence of strong interaction between solute and solvent.

Table 2. Variation of some acoustical parameters with concentration of Schiff bases at 303.15 K in ethanol.

Compounds	Concentration (M)	Adiabatic Compressibility (β_{ad}) (10^{-10}Pa^{-1})	Intermolecular Free length (L_f) \AA^0	Specific acoustic impedance (Z) kg/m ² s	Free volume (V_f)	Internal Pressure (π_i)
4N	0.01	1.2130	0.1032	812.347	0.0968	7.0892
	0.03	1.1895	0.1022	821.336	0.1104	6.3267

	0.05	1.1693	0.1013	832.399	0.1261	5.7095
	0.07	1.1512	0.1004	844.033	0.1416	5.2115
DN	0.01	1.4070	0.1021	828.956	0.1002	7.0495
	0.03	1.1556	0.1007	844.72	0.119	6.1804
	0.05	1.1507	0.0943	904.036	0.1508	5.325
	0.07	0.9806	0.0928	920.249	0.1733	4.7688
DC	0.01	1.0728	0.0971	864.989	0.0925	7.1865
	0.03	0.9967	0.0936	897.624	0.1109	6.2647
	0.05	0.9730	0.0924	909.18	0.1282	5.576
	0.07	0.9354	0.0973	927.153	0.1472	5.0046
4MN	0.01	0.7469	0.081	1026.77	0.0922	7.0979
	0.03	0.6751	0.077	1082.599	0.1168	6.0829
	0.05	0.6215	0.0739	1139.121	0.1427	5.3722
	0.07	0.5530	0.0697	1211.203	0.1762	4.7179




Fig. 5. Variation of Adiabatic compressibility (β_{ad}) with respect to concentration (M).

The predominance of a particular interaction in a particular solution can also be decided by adiabatic compressibility, which is shown in Fig. 5 for all the Schiff bases in ethanol. It is observed that in ethanol solution, adiabatic compressibility decreases with increase in concentration for 4N, DN, DC and 4MN compounds. It indicates the aggregation of solvent molecules around solute molecules²⁰. This indicates the existence of strong interaction between solute and solvent. The change in adiabatic compressibility in liquid mixtures indicates that there is a definite contraction on mixing and the variation is may be due to complex formation.

The magnitude of either the solute-solute interaction or the solute –solvent interaction or both of the system has been denoted from Intermolecular Free length. Free length decreases with increase in concentration (Fig. 6) are due to compression of liquid, which indicates that the molecules are coming closer to each other and hence the intermolecular cohesion is stronger which leads the strong molecular association²⁰.




Fig. 6. Variation of Intermolecular Free length (L_f) with respect to concentration (M).

The increasing trend (Fig. 7) of acoustic impedance supports the possibility of molecular interaction between Schiff bases and alcohol molecules and it is directly proportional with ultrasonic velocity and inversely proportional to adiabatic compressibility and shows similar behaviour to that of ultrasonic velocity and opposite to that of adiabatic compressibility^{21,25-27}. The internal pressure decreases with increase in concentration (Fig. 8), which indicates the decrease in cohesive forces. The reduction in internal pressure may be due to loosening of cohesive forces leading to breaking the structure of the solute. Due to weakening of intermolecular forces of attraction the internal pressure should fall. Decrease in internal pressure indicates that there is a weak interaction between the solute and solvent molecules²².




Fig. 7. Variation of Acoustic impedance (Z) with respect to concentration (M).




Fig. 8. Variation of Internal pressure (π_i) with respect to concentration (M).

Free volume is the average volume in which the center of molecule can move due to repulsion of the surrounding molecules. The free volume increases with increase in concentration (Fig. 9). The decrease in molecular association causes an increase in free volume. The increase in free volume may be attributed to lose packing of the molecules inside the shield, which may be brought about by weakening of molecular interactions²³. Thus free volume is an inverse function of internal pressure. Hence, increase in free volume causes internal pressure to decrease, which indicates the existence of solute-solvent interactions.




Fig. 9. Variation of Free volume (V_f) with respect to concentration (M).

3. 2. Anti-microbial activities

Schiff base compounds possess a wide range of biological activities, these multi-prolonged activities are associated with different substituents and the unsaturation of C=N moiety in between the aryl rings. Hence, it is intended to examine their antimicrobial activities against their respective microbes-bacterial strains.

3. 2. 1. Antibacterial sensitivity assay

Antibacterial sensitivity assay has been performed by using disc diffusion²⁴ technique. In each Petri plate about 0.5 ml of the test bacterial sample has been spread uniformly over the solidified Mueller Hinton agar using sterile glass spreader. Then the discs with 5mm diameter made up of Whatmann No.1 filter paper, impregnated with the solution of the compound have been placed on the medium using sterile forceps. The plates have been incubated for 24 hours at 37 °C by keeping the plates upside down to prevent the collection of water droplets over the medium. After 24 hours, the plates have been visually examined and the diameter values of the zone of inhibition were measured. Triplicate results have been recorded by repeating the same procedure.

The antibacterial activities of all the synthesized Schiff base compounds have been studied against three gram positive pathogenic strains *Micrococcus luteus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and two gram negative strains *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas species*. The disc diffusion technique²⁴ was followed at a concentration of 250 µg/mL with Ciproflaxin taken as the standard drug. The zone of inhibition is compared using Table 1 and the corresponding clustered column chart is shown in Fig. 3.

Table 3. Antibacterial activities of Schiff bases (entries 1-4).

Entry	Compound	Zone of Inhibition (mm)				
		Gram positive bacteria			Gram negative bacteria	
		<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>Micrococcus</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Pseudomonas</i>
1	4N	16	8	16	23	12
2	DN	8	--	10	7	--
3	DC	15	10	21	8	9
4	4MN	20	16	24	18	17
Standard	Ciproflaxin	36	34	34	32	30
Control	DMSO	--	--	--	--	--




Fig. 3. Antibacterial activity.

From the table, it is evident that all the synthesized Schiff base compounds were biologically active against *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus* and *E. coli* species. Especially, the compound 4MN has shown good activity against *S. aureus* than other three compounds. The compound 4N has also shown good activity against *E. coli* than other compounds under investigation. However the compound DN has shown poor activity against *Micrococcus* and *Pseudomonas*.

4. CONCLUSION

Totally four number of Schiff base compounds have been synthesized by ultrasonic irradiation technique and are characterized by UV, IR and NMR spectra. The Ultrasonic velocity, density, viscosity are measured and related acoustical parameters were calculated from the measured data. The existence of molecular interactions in solute-solvent is favoured in the system, confirmed from the U , ρ , η , $\beta_{(ad)}$, L_f , V_f , Z and π_i . Hence it is concluded that there is existence of molecular interactions between Schiff base compounds and alcohol. Internal pressure gives the idea of the solubility characteristics. The antibacterial activity of the four Schiff bases have been studied using Bauer-Kirby method and shown considerable activity.

References

- [1] Karthikeyan S.M, Prasad J.D, Poojary B, Bhat S.K, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 14 (2006) 7482.
- [2] Singh K, Barwa M.S, Tyagi P, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 41 (2006) 147.
- [3] Rakesh K.P, Shiva Prasad K, Shridhara Prasad K, *Int. J. Res. Chem. Environ.* 2 (2012) 221.
- [4] Sridhar S.K, Saravan M, Ramesh A, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 36 (2001) 615.
- [5] Walsh O.M, Meegan M.J, Prendergast R.M, Nakib T.A, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 31 (1996) 989.
- [6] Dabholkar V.V, Ansari F.Y, *Ind. J. Chem.* 47B (2008) 1759.
- [7] Antosiewicz, Juskiewicz A, D.J. Shugar, *J. Phys. Chem.* 86 (1982) 4831.
- [8] Chennarayappa, C.K., Rambabu P.V, Raman, G.K, *Acoust. Lett.*, 15 (1991) 83.
- [9] Ali A., A.K. Nain, V.K. Sharma, S. Ahmad, *Ind. J. Phys.* 75B (2001) 519.
- [10] Ali, A., A.K. Nain, D. Chand, R. Ahmad, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* 79 (2006) 702
- [11] Baluja S, Parsania P.H, *Asian J. Chem.* 7 (1995) 417.
- [12] Baluja S, P.H. Parsania., *Asian J. Chem.* 9 (1997) 149.
- [13] Baluja S, S. Oza, *Fluid Phase Equilibria* 208 (2003) 83.
- [14] Baluja S., P. Inamdar, M. Soni, *Wuli Huaxue Xuebao* 20 (2004) 1104.
- [15] Baluja S., A. Solanki, N. Kachhadia, *Russ. J. Phy. Chem.* 81 (2007) 742.
- [16] Baluja S., N. Kachhadia, M. Soni, *Int. J. Appl. Chem.* 4 (2008) 71-80.

-
- [17] Nagar A.A, Patel P.R, Dhobi A, Bendale A. R, Chugh N.N, *Asian J. Curr. Chem.* 1(2) (2011).
- [18] Kharkale S.S, Wagh M.S, Agrawal P.S, Paliwal L.J, *Proceedings of Eighteenth National Symposium on Ultrasonics*, Vellore 2009, 502-504.
- [19] Sunanda et al., *Int. J. Pharm. Pharmaceu. Science* 5(1) (2013) 76-79.
- [20] Patil K.C, Umare V.D., *Int. J. Res. Pure & Appl. Phys.* 2(4) (2012) 25-27.
- [21] Padma S. There., *Rasayan J. Chem.* 6(2) (2013) 111-116.
- [22] Lin W, Tsay S.J, *J. Phys. Chem.* 74 (1990) 1073.
- [23] Tadmalkar Alka, Pawar Pravina, Bichile G.K, *J. Chem & Phar. Res.* 3(3) (2011) 165-168.
- [24] Bauer A.W, Kirby W.M.M, Sherris J.C, Truck M, *Am. J. Clin Patho.* 45 (1966) 493.
- [25] Arun Mishra, Jaynt Rathod, Dipti Namera, Shailesh Thakrar, Anamik Shah, *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 11(3) (2014) 193-201.
- [26] Pankaj Chovatiya, Charmy Mehta, Hardik Senjani, Anamik Shah, Hitendra S. Joshi, *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 12 (2014) 26-30.
- [27] Ankita Bhalu, Kalpesh Vilapara, Minaxi Maru, Manish Shah, *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 12 (2014) 51-55.

(Received 22 April 2014; accepted 29 April 2014)