A comparative study of the antioxidant activity and phytochemical composition of leaves extract between three varieties of date palm tree
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ABSTRACT

The date palm (Phoenyx dactylifera) consisted, for the people of southern Algeria, as tree of providence. Dates and their extracts are also used for many centuries as a medicine against allergy, inflammation, constipation and gastro-protective; they also have a high antibacterial and antioxidant activity. However, no studies are conducted to evaluate the extract from the leaves of date palm (Phoenyx dactylifera) in point of view of phytochemical composition, antimicrobial and the antioxidant activity. In this study, we have determined the phenolic compounds, antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts from three varieties of leaves tree. According to the results the leaves extracts have very important values for polyphenols (215.24 to 156.46 mg GAE / g DW) and high antioxidant activity (324.45 to 206.21 mg GAE / g DW), Diphenyl-1 picrylhydrazyl radical-scavenging activity (IC₅₀ = 2.98 to 4.83 μg / ml); also the three extracts reveal a considerable antimicrobial potency and antifungal considerable activity, the diameter of inhibition is 35.2 to 39.5 mm (concentration 50 mg/ml) for Candida albicans ATCC 90026.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Phoenyx dactylifera is a tree of the family Areceae (palms), subfamily Coryphoideae and order Arecales. It is widely found in Saharian oasis and considered as a dominant tree in this region. The fruit tree grows in its shade which provides us cover vegetables and foods. This tree has been known since antiquity; its origin is located in North Africa, the Sahara, west of India and the Persian Gulf region. Also it is widespread in all the hot spots from the Atlantic to the Red Sea. If we adapt the estimates based on the shape and organoleptic properties of fruits, there are more than 600 varieties of these fruit trees. For Muslims, all over the world, dates are of religious importance and are mentioned several times in the Quran. In Algeria the Phoenyx dactylifera is an important tree [1], for people and plays principal roles in social, environmental and economic sectors [2]. As production, Algeria is one of the first
producers of fruits of the date in the world; 500,000 t per year [3]. In Africa, medicinal plants are tradionally used; it was estimated over 80% of the population that they produce wide array of phytochemical; most of which are used, from the plant, as drugs source [4] in order to avoid the secondary effects undesirable (unwanted side-effects) of some synthetic chemical drugs. Recent reports indicate that there is an inverse relationship between the dietary intake of antioxidant-rich foods and the incidence of human disease. Two synthetic antioxidants, BHT and BHA which are used in the food industry, may be responsible for liver damage and carcinogenesis or toxic [5].

For these reasons, it is necessary to focus on others natural antioxidants extract from plants. Several chemical compounds extracted from plant leaves, but the most important is the polyphenols, which are secondary metabolites ubiquitously distributed in all higher plants [6]. Many new studies confirmed the antimicrobial activity of polyphenols occurring in vegetable foods and medicinal plants and that they act as anti-allergic, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, vasoprotector and anti-tumour agents [7]. The date is rich with phytochemicals like phenolic acids, sterols, procyanidins, flavanoids, carotenoids and anthocyanidin [8]. The biological and pharmacological activities of date are very important; the dates have activities antimutagenix, antiviral, antifungal, antiherlipidemi and hepatoprotective [9,10]. In all the studies carried out on the dates, in our knowledge, there is no scientific study and information of phytochemical, antioxidant capacity and antimicrobial activity concerning leaves extract of Phoenix dactylifera.

The present work is undertaken to estimate the chemical composition, antimicrobial and antioxidant effect of leaves extract of three varieties of Phoenix dactylifera growing in southeast of Algeria, and to evaluate any relationship between composition phytochemical and previous activities. As a result, new sources of antioxidant and antimicrobial agents can be obtained from leaves extracts hoping that we open more research horizons.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Methanol, ethanol absolute, chloroform (CHCl₃) and ultra pure water were purchased from Merk (France), folin-ciocalteu reagent, Diphenyl-1 picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), potassium ferricyanide [K₃Fe(CN)₆], butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), chlorogenic acid were procured from Sigma–Aldrich Inc (Paris, France). All other chemicals and reagents were analytical-reagent, sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃), gallic acid, sodium nitrate (NaNO₂), aluminum chloride (AlCl₃), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), catechin, hydrochloric acid (HCl), quercetin, linoleic acid, sodium phosphate, tricloroacetic acid (CCl₃COOH), ferric chloride (FeCl₃), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and ammonium molybdate. The following reagents were used for the microbial activity: Nutrient agar.

2.2. Plant material Preparation of extracts

The aerial parts of Phoenix dactylifera (leaves) of three trees were collected in March 2011 from Debila (Djedeida) located in Wilaya of El-Oued southeast Algeria (33° 07' 00" N 7° 11' 00" E) and were grown for six months before being used. This species was identified by Pr. Ouahranir M. Ridha Department of Chemistry, Kasdi Merbah University. The leaves were dried in well ventilated spaces at room temperature, powdered and sifted in a sieve (0.750 μm) before use.
The powder of each plant material (50 g) was extract three times with 500 mL of 80 % v/v (MeOH: water) during 48 h, stirred with condition 350 rpm and 35 °C using an orbital shaken. The extracts were filtered by Whatman N°1. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure at 40 °C by rotary evaporator (BUCHI R-210, Switzerland) to eliminate the methanol, and stored in -4 °C to give a crude extract yielding 8.25 g for Ghars, 9.56 g for Deglat Nour and 7.82 g for Hamraya, diluted in methanol and distilled water for next concentrations needed in this work.

2. 3. Determination of total polyphenol content

The concentration of total polyphenols compounds in the extracts was estimated by the folin-ciocalteu method with some modification [11]. Briefly, a dilute solution of each extract in MeOH (1 mL) was mixed with 1 mL of folin-ciocalteu reagent, followed by 1 mL of a sodium carbonate (10 % w/v) after 4 min. The reaction mixture was incubated for 60 min at room temperature; the absorbance of reaction mixture at 700 nm was measured, the blank's prepared with the same procedure described above except that the samples solution was substituted by 1 mL of 80 % methanol. The concentration of total polyphenols in the extracts was expressed as mg GAE per g of dry weight using UV-Visible (Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan) and the equation of calibration curve: Y = 0.00778x + 0.26193, R² = 0.991, x was the absorbance and Y was the gallic acid equivalent. All results presented are means (±SEM) and were analyzed in three replications.

2. 4. DPPH radical-scavenging activity

A 1 mL aliquot of each extract was added to 0.5 mL of DPPH methanolic solution (7.8 mg DPPH in 100 mL methanol 100 %). The mixture was vigorously shaken and left to stand in the dark for 30 min at room temperature [12]. The antioxidant activity was then measured by the decrease in absorption at 517 nm using UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan) and corresponds to the extract ability to reduce the radical DPPH* to the yellow-colored DPPH. The antiradical activity was expressed as IC₅₀ (µg/mL), the antiradical dose required to cause 50 %, was obtained by interpolation from linear regression analysis and calculated by the following equation:

DPPH scavenging activity (%) = (A₀− A₁) / A₀ x 100

where A₀ is the absorbance of control at 30 min, A₁ is the absorbance of the sample extract at 30 min. All results presented are means (±SEM) and were analyzed in three replications.

2. 5. Reducing power assay

0.2 mL of sample extracts of different concentrations was added to 2.5 mL sodium phosphate buffer (0.2 mol/L, pH 6.6) and 2.5 mL of K₃Fe(CN)₆ 1 %, the mixture incubated at 50 °C for 20 min. After this, 2.5 mL of trichloroacetic acid 10 % were added (10 %, w/v, in water) and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min at room temperature, the upper layer of solution 5 mL was mixed with 5 ml of distilled water and 1 mL ferric chloride 0.1 % [13], the absorbance measured at 700 nm again the blank using UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan), the extract concentration providing 50 % inhibition (EC₅₀) was calculated from the graph of measured absorbance. The values were expressed as mg per 1 L of leave extracts, all determinations were performed in triplicate.
2.6. Estimation of total antioxidant

The total antioxidant capacity of the crude extract of leaves was evaluated by the method based on the reduction of Mo (VI) to Mo (V) by formation of the green phosphate/Mo(V) [14]. In the appended tube, 0.3 mL of methanol extract 80% known concentration was added to 2.7 mL mol of the reagent solution (0.6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate and 4mM ammonium molybdate). The tubes were capped and incubated in a boiling water bath (Mammert D-91126 Schwabach FRG, Germany) at 95 °C for 90 min, the blank is prepared with the same procedure described above but we replace the volume of simple extract by 0.3 mL methanol, the absorbance was calculated at 695 nm. The antioxidant capacity was expressed as mg GAE per gram of dry plant powder (me GAE/g DW) and expressed as IC₅₀ (μg/mL), the dose required to cause 50 % of inhibition, was obtained by interpolation from linear regression analysis and calculated by the following equation: All determinations were performed in triplicate.

2.7. Antimicrobial activity assays

2.7.1. Microorganisms

Eleven bacteria strains were used in this study; the bacterial cells assayed included two gram-positive, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC [15] and Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579. For the gram-negative, nine bacterial strains were used, Escherichia coli ATCC 35218, Salmonella arizona DM 35605, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Pseudomonas aeruginosa HM 627626, Pseudomonas aeruginosa HM 627575, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 15442, Pseudomonas aeruginosa HM 627579, Pseudomonas putida HM 6227611 [16], and Agrobacterium tumefaciens B6 C58 [17]. All strains were obtained from the Laboratory of Waste Water Treatment, Centre of Research and Technologies of Water (Tunisia).

2.7.2. Incubation conditions

NA was used culture medium for bacteria, incubated for 24 h at 37 °C and yeasts were cultured in SDA (4 % dextrose, 2 % neopeptone and 1.7 % agar) for 24-48 h at 30 °C [18].

2.7.3. Disc diffusion assay

Methanol extracts of Phoenyx dactylifera L were dissolved in methanol-water 80 % for a final concentration 50 mg/mL and filter-sterilized through a 0.45 membrane filter. The antimicrobial activity was estimated by method of disc diffusion, 100 μL of suspension for each microorganism 10⁸ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL of bacteria were put in the plastic petri plates containing 20 mL of nutrient agar, after they were placed in the petri sterilized filter paper disc (6mm in diameter) and were soaked with 15 μL of the 50 mg/mL of each methanolic extracts (150 μg/disc).

The MeOH 80 % was used as a negative control and polymyxine B it was the positive control, prepared with the same procedure described above except that the methanol extract was substituted by 15 μL of positive control at 50 mg/mL, the diameter of the inhibition zone around each disc was measured for three replicates [18].

2.8. Statistical analysis

Data were expressed and were presented as mean values ±SD (standard deviations). All measurements were carried out in three experiments (all the analyses in the present study
work which was done in duplicate determinations). Statistical calculations were carried out by OriginPro version 8 software (Prolab). Correlations were obtained by Pearson correlation coefficient in bivariate correlations. P values < 0.05 were regarded significant and P values < 0.01 were regarded very significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Extract yield

The methanol is a solvent extract which have significant amounts of polyphenols compounds and used in several recent studies, it is the best solvent of antimicrobial substances compared with the other solvents and given an elevated antioxidant activity [16,17,19]. The results of extract yield for each variety of Phoenyx dactylifera Lare mentioned in table 1, which shows the extraction yield (g/100 g dry weight), the Deglet Nour variety gives the highest yield (19.12 ±0.108 % w/ w while the intermediate value (16.50 ±0.140 %) was obtained from the Ghars extract. the lowest value was found for Hamraya. The mass yield obtained for methanolic extract of leaves A. roseum var. odoratissimum about 6.3 % [19] and 16.1 % for methanolic extract of Rhizoma Smilacis Chinea [20].

3.2. Total polyphenol

The total polyphenol content of methanol extract of three varieties of Phoenyx dactylifera is shown in Table 1, the range was from 215.24 ±9.25 to 156.46 ±4.21 mg GAE/g DW. The higher amount of these compounds found in Ghars variety 215.24 ±6.25 mg GAE/g DW, 179.30 ±5.43 mg GAE/g DW in Deglet Nour and the lowest concentration obtained from Hamraya variety 156.46 ±4.21 mg GAE/g DW, these concentrations significantly higher if are compared to other medicinal plants like G. multifolial 12.36 mg GAE/g DW and G. villosa 20.81 mg GAE/g DW [21], and 70.07 mg GAE/g DW for M. edule [22]. The quantity of phenolic compounds in leaves samples is greatly influenced by soil, water irrigation, environmental condition, genotype (cultivate/variety) agronomic practices (fertilization and pest management). The extracts of these trees showed high concentration of polyphenol, flavanoid and flavonol content, for these raisons antioxidant and antimicrobial activity were determined.

Table 1. Mass yield of leaves obtained by methanol 80 % of three varieties of Phoenyx dactylifera L.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>dry weight extract g/50 g</th>
<th>Yield (%) w/w</th>
<th>Polyphenols (mg GAE/G DW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gars</td>
<td>8.25 ±0.07</td>
<td>16.50 ±1.15</td>
<td>215.24 ±9.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deglet Nour</td>
<td>9.56 ±0.08</td>
<td>19.12 ±0.10</td>
<td>179.30 ±4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamraya</td>
<td>7.82 ±0.04</td>
<td>15.64 ±0.08</td>
<td>156.64 ±5.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as the mean ±standard deviation of three independent experiments. Values with different row are significantly (P < 0.05).
The DPPH radical scavenging assay is an easy rapid and sensitive method for the antioxidant Screening of plant extracts [23].

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of methanolic extract leaves of the three varieties of Phoenyx dactylifera is presented in Table 2.

For crude extract of Ghars variety obtained the higher value (IC50 = 2.98 ±0.08 μg/mL), the intermediate value found in Deglet Nour (IC50 = 3.74 ±0.07 μg/mL) and the lowest amount obtained in Hamraya variety (IC50 = 4.83 ±0.10 μg/mL).

If we compare these values with other methanolic extracts of leaves, the IC50 = 230.5 ±0.3 μg/mL for Petroselinum sativum and IC50 = 600.1 ±0.1 μg/mL for Beta vulgaris var cicla [17].

The antioxidant capacity of different varieties of Phoenyx dactylifera is higher than the positive control BHT (IC50 = 11.7 ±0.3 μg/mL), this antioxidant capacity free radical scavenger DPPH related with the quantity of total polyphenol composition [24,25].

Moreover, the antimicrobial activity of these compounds according in plants has been extensively investigated against a wide range of microorganisms [26].

3.3. Reducing power

Fe3+ reductions are often used as an indicator of electron-donating activity, which is an important mechanism of phenolic antioxidant action, and can be strongly correlated with other antioxidant properties [27].

The reducing power is confirmed by the change of yellow colour of the test solution to various shades of green and blue depending on the concentration of the plant extract, the high reducing power was obtained in methanolic extract of Ghars (IC50 = 13.28 ±0.05 μg/mL), the intermediate value obtained from Deglet Nour extract (IC50 = 32.73 ±1.35 μg/mL) and the lowest value founded in Hamraya extract (IC50 = 42.26 ±2.04 μg/mL).

The reducing power of all extracts were significantly higher than those of standard antioxidant (BHA, IC50 = 62.43 ±2.55 μg/mL) and chlorogenic acid (IC50 = 49.41 ±2.37 μg/mL), the results are shown in Table 2.

3.4. Total antioxidant activity

The total antioxidant activity of methanolic extracts of leaves range from 324.45 ±11.43 to 206.21 ±9.14 mg GAE/g DW, the high values are 324.45 ±11.43 mg GAE/g DW for Ghars leaves extract, then 218.15 ±7.55 for Deglet Nour leaves extract and finally 206.21 ±9.14 mg GAE/g DW for Hamraya leaves extract.

These results exhibit strong values and confirmed the high antioxidant activity of leaves extract of Phoenyx dactylifera founded in DPPH, β-Carotene and reducing power, the results are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. DPPH radical-scavenging activity, reducing power of extracts and standards expressed as (IC_{50} in μg/ml). Total antioxidant activity (mg GAE/g DW).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species and standards</th>
<th>DPPH test</th>
<th>Reducing power</th>
<th>Total antioxidant activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ghars</td>
<td>2.98 ±0.08</td>
<td>13.28 ±0.05</td>
<td>206.21 ±09.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deglet Nour</td>
<td>3.74 ±0.07</td>
<td>32.73 ±1.35</td>
<td>218.15 ±07.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamraya</td>
<td>4.83 ±0.10</td>
<td>42.26 ±2.04</td>
<td>324.45 ±11.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>11.7 ±0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62.43 ±2.55</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49.41 ±2.37</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation of triplicate samples. Values with different row are significantly (P < 0.05).

3.5. Antimicrobial activity

The results of the antibacterial activity of methanol extracts of studies tree against a set of Gram-positive (*Staphylococcus aureus ATCC* and *Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579*), and Gram-negative (*Escherchia coli ATCC 35218, Salmonella arizona DM 35605, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Pseudomonas aeruginosa HM 627626, Pseudomonas aeruginosa HM 627575, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 15442, Pseudomonas aeruginosa HM 627579 and Pseudomonas putida HM 6227611) are summarised in Table 3. All methanolic extracts of leaves were active on all bacteria tested except one (*Agrobacterium tumefaciens B6 C58*), the Gram-positive bacterias appeared more sensitive than the bacteria of gram-negative.

The zone diameter of inhibition ranged from 19.8 ±0.8 mm at 17.4 ±0.8 mm, the higher antibacterial activity founded in *Staphylococcus aureus ATCC* (19.8 ±0.5 mm) from leaves extract of Ghars and the lowest sensitivity obtained from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853* (10.5 ±0.3) from leaves extract of Hamraya.

This antibacterial activity is higher than the postive contrôle polymyxine B, the zone diameter of inhibition ranged from 12.5 ±0.5 mm at 8.5 ±0.3 mm. Polyphenol possess antibacterial activities [27]. For antifungal activity, the methanolic extracts give the activity power, the zone diameter of inhibition ranged from 11.5 ± 1 mm at 20.2 ±0.8 mm.

The methanolic extracts from leaves of Ghars variety exhibited the higher antifungal activity, enregistered zone diameter of inhibition 15.4 ±1 mm for *Candida albicans ATCC 90026* 17.4 ±1.3 mm for *Pyrenophora teres Fand* and 20.2 ±0.8 mm for *Ptytophtora nicotina*, methanolic extract of *Hamraya* exhibited the low power antifungal.

The results are shown in table 3. Several authors supported these results, the date extract may have multiple effects on Candida and with further studies may be of therapeutic uses and inhibits the infectivity of *Pseudomonas ATCC 14209-B1* [28,29].
Table 3. Antibacterial and antifungal activity of methanolic extracts leaves of different varieties of *Phoenyx dactylifera* L.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Ghars extract</th>
<th>Deglet Nour extract</th>
<th>Hamraya extract</th>
<th>polymyxine B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteria</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus ATCC</td>
<td>19.8 ±0.5</td>
<td>17.4 ±0.8</td>
<td>14.4 ±0.6</td>
<td>12.5 ±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579</td>
<td>19.3 ±0.6</td>
<td>16.5 ±0.5</td>
<td>14.5 ±0.5</td>
<td>11.0 ±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli ATCC 35218</td>
<td>14.7±0.5</td>
<td>12.8 ±0.6</td>
<td>11.5 ±0.6</td>
<td>08.5 ±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrobacterium tumefaciens B6 C58</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>08.5 ±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853</td>
<td>16.7 ±0.6</td>
<td>15.6 ±0.4</td>
<td>15.2 ±0.6</td>
<td>11.5 ±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa HM 627626</td>
<td>14.6 ±0.5</td>
<td>13.2 ±0.5</td>
<td>13.5 ±0.4</td>
<td>10.4 ±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa HM 627575</td>
<td>14.2 ±0.5</td>
<td>13.5 ±0.6</td>
<td>13.1 ±0.4</td>
<td>11.5 ±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 15442</td>
<td>16.8 ±0.3</td>
<td>15.6 ±0.6</td>
<td>13.5 ±0.0</td>
<td>12.0 ±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa HM 627579</td>
<td>15.5 ±0.2</td>
<td>15.5 ±0.4</td>
<td>14.2 ±0.0</td>
<td>12.5 ±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas putida HM 6227611</td>
<td>15.7 ±0.5</td>
<td>14.2 ±0.5</td>
<td>13.5 ±0.5</td>
<td>11.8 ±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fungi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans ATCC 90026</td>
<td>15.4 ±1.0</td>
<td>14.5 ±0.5</td>
<td>12.0 ±1.0</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrenophora teres F</td>
<td>17.4 ±1.3</td>
<td>15.5 ±0.5</td>
<td>12.4 ±0.5</td>
<td>11.5 ±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptytophtora nicotina</td>
<td>20.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>17.0 ±1.0</td>
<td>15.5 ±1.2</td>
<td>12.5 ±0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

na: not active. Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation of triplicate samples.

4. CONCLUSION

We think that the present study is the first to investigate the phytochemical composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of methanolic extracts of three varieties of *Phoenyx dactylifera* grown in Southeast Algeria. This study shows that considerable variety exists between the three methanolic extracts of leaves of Ghars, Deglet Nour and Hamraya. We
found high amount of total polyphenol content, the Ghars variety exhibits the high amount of these compounds. On the other hand, the results of antioxidant activity tests present the strong capacity of three methanolic extracts, higher than the standard antioxidants (BHA, BHT and chlorogenic acid). Finally, all extracts show the high antimicrobial activity for the microorganisms tests (bacteria and fungi) exceeded most of the time the positive control. The good correlation found between activity and phytochemical contents indicates that effects observed could be attributed to phenolic compounds. This data suggest the strong potential of these extracts as a natural source of phenolic compounds, antioxidant and antimicrobial and may be considered in future to replace synthetic preservatives and drugs in pharmaceutical and food industry.
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