

The influence of multicomponent fertilizers on the concentration of phosphorous in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.)

Beata Draszawka – Bolzan

Faculty of Biology, University of Szczecin, 13 Waska Street, 71-415 Szczecin, Poland

E-mail address: atkadb@o2.pl

ABSTRACT

A pot experiment on an acid brown soil was conducted in 1998-2001. Three multicomponent fertilizers were applied: Polifoska 8, Polifoska 15 and Polimag 306, Travit at the rates of 0.5; 1.0; and 1.5 g N per pot. The total forms of phosphorous were determined in dry matter of perennial ryegrass with the use of ASA method. The contents of these elements were higher in the fertilized variants as compared to the control. No significant differences were found among the contents of investigated elements compared in plants treated with different multicomponent fertilizers. The chemical analyses indicated that increasing rates of the fertilizers applied enhanced the contents of phosphorous. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Polifoska 8 it ranged from 0,49-0,65 %. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Polifoska 15 it ranged from 0,49-0,63 %. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Polimag 306 it ranged from 0,51-0,72 %. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Travit it ranged from 0,46-0,53 %.

Keywords: soil; mineral fertilizer; the contents phosphorous

1. INTRODUCTION

Urbanization is the cause of many changes which is taking place in the environment, including those found in the catchment [4,6-12,22-26].

With this in mind, an important issue is the proper protection of water reservoirs and also taking action to counter the adverse effects of human activities on the natural environment, including water tanks [4-19].

The problem of soil heavy metals pollution occurs in Poland locally and applies first of all industrialized areas, but in some areas, in the vicinity of the former or current sources of emissions, soil sometimes exhibit a significant degree of pollution [1-14,19,21-27]. Heavy metals occur as contaminants of agricultural and industrial area. Their long-lasting results in the accumulation of surface soil layers in the deposition and migrate deeper into the soil profile, right down to the groundwater. Heavy metals in soils may constitute a potential source of danger for plants and for groundwater, and consequently can be incorporated into the food chain. Download trace of contaminated soils by plants often exceeds their

physiological demand, which causes an excess of these elements in soils can act phytotoxic [19-30].

2. EXPERIMENTAL

A pot experiment on an acid brown soil was conducted in 1998-2001. Three multicomponent fertilizers were applied: Polifoska 8, Polifoska 16 and Polimag 306, at the rates of 0.5; 1.0; and 1.5 g N per pot. The total forms of phosphorous were determined in dry matter of perennial ryegrass with the use of ASA method.

The contents of these elements were higher in the fertilized variants as compared to the control. No significant differences were found among the contents of investigated elements compared in plants treated with different multicomponent fertilizers [19,20,22,23]. The soil on which experience has been carried out to qualified valuation class IVa. Test plant was perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.). After the growth of biological material (*Lolium perenne* L.), and the mixture mineralization nitric and perchloric acids determined phosphorous.

Table 1. Characteristics of experimental soils.

Properties of soil		Year			
		1998	1999	2000	2001
No. of trials		I,II	III,IV	V,VI	VII,VIII
Fraction (%)	1,0-0,1 mm	64	62	67	63
	0,1-0,02 mm	25	26	25	27
	< 0,02 mm	18	16	17	17
Soil symbol		pgm	pgm	pgl	pgm
C org. (%)		0,61	0,57	0,64	0,65
pH (1 mol·dm ⁻³ KCl)		4,2	4,5	4,3	4,5
Content (mg·kg ⁻¹)	P ₂ O ₅	147	142	145	143
	K ₂ O	121	115	106	119
	Mg	42	40	43	38

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) in Tables 2 to 5.

Table 2. The content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) (1999-2001) [%] - Polifoska 8.

Fertilizers compared	Doses	Phosphorous			
		I	II	III	Mean
Control		0,42	0,39	0,37	0,39
Polifoska 8	1d	0,65	0,52	0,49	0,55
	2d	0,57	0,59	0,52	0,56
	3d	0,49	0,61	0,57	0,56
Mean		0,57	0,57	0,53	0,56
Minimum		0,49	0,52	0,49	0,50
Maximum		0,65	0,61	0,57	0,61
Standard deviation		0,63	0,69	0,93	-
Mediana		0,82	0,97	0,93	-
Coefficient of variation		7,3	10,8	9,5	-

Explanations: 1d – doses – 0,5 g N; 2d – doses – 0,5 g N; 3d – doses – 0,5 g N.

Table 3. The content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) (1999-2001) [%] - Polifoska 15.

Fertilizers compared	Doses	Phosphorous			
		I	II	III	Mean
Control		0,42	0,39	0,37	0,39
Polifoska 15	1d	0,63	0,49	0,56	0,56
	2d	0,55	0,53	0,52	0,53
	3d	0,62	0,51	0,63	0,59
Mean		0,60	0,51	0,57	0,56
Minimum		0,55	0,49	0,52	0,53
Maximum		0,63	0,53	0,63	0,59
Standard deviation		0,92	0,61	0,49	-
Mediana		0,73	0,84	0,93	-
Coefficient of variation		9,7	16,1	11,5	-

Explanations: 1d – doses – 0,5 g N; 2d – doses – 0,5 g N; 3d – doses – 0,5 g N.

Table 4. The content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) (1999-2001) [%] - Polimag 306.

Fertilizers compared	Doses	Phosphorous			Mean
		I	II	III	
Control		0,42	0,39	0,37	0,39
Polimag 306	1d	0,72	0,63	0,68	0,68
	2d	0,54	0,58	0,72	0,61
	3d	0,59	0,51	0,67	0,59
Mean		0,62	0,57	0,69	0,63
Minimum		0,54	0,51	0,67	0,59
Maximum		0,72	0,63	0,72	0,68
Standard deviation		0,91	0,89	1,02	-
Mediana		1,19	1,33	1,41	-
Coefficient of variation		14,1	13,9	15,2	-

Explanations: 1d – doses – 0,5 g N; 2d – doses – 0,5 g N; 3d – doses – 0,5 g N.

Table 5. The content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) (1999-2001) [%] – Travit.

Fertilizers compared	Doses	Phosphorous			Mean
		I	II	III	
Control		0,42	0,39	0,37	0,39
Travit	1d	0,53	0,51	0,57	0,54
	2d	0,49	0,52	0,46	0,49
	3d	0,46	0,48	0,53	0,49
Mean		0,49	0,50	0,52	0,51
Minimum		0,46	0,48	0,46	0,49
Maximum		0,53	0,52	0,53	0,51
Standard deviation		0,96	0,79	0,95	-
Mediana		0,89	0,73	0,86	-
Coefficient of variation		11,6	12,7	14,1	-

Explanations: 1d – doses – 0,5 g N; 2d – doses – 0,5 g N; 3d – doses – 0,5 g N.

The average phosphorous content in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) sustainable compound fertilisers applied at all was higher compared to the control object (Table 2-5). Comparing the content of phosphorous in each swath slightly higher than the value found in the second and third cut. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Polifoska 8 it ranged from 0,49-0,65 %. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Polifoska 15 it ranged from 0,49-0,63 %. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Polimag 306 it ranged from 0,51-0,72 %. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Travit it ranged from 0,46-0,5%. The content of phosphorous in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) was mostly higher on objects may receive farm manures fertilisers compared to the control object.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The content of phosphorous in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) was mostly higher on objects may receive farm manures fertilisers compared to the control object. Differences in the phosphorous content of fertilisers applied between were insignificant. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Polifoska 8 it ranged from 0,49-0,65 %. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Polifoska 15 it ranged from 0,49-0,63 %. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Polimag 306 it ranged from 0,51-0,72 %. In the content of phosphorous in dry matter of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) the Travit it ranged from 0,46-0,53 %.

References

- [1] Azarmi R., Giglou M. T., Taleshmikail R. D., *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 7(14) (2008) 2397-2401.
- [2] Anke M., *Kolloquien des Instituts für Pflanzenernährung*, Jena 2 (1987) 110-111.
- [3] Broos K., Beyens H., Smolders E., *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 37 (2005) 573-579.
- [4] Cyraniak E., Daniszewski P., Draszawka - Bołzan B. *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 5 (2012) 88-95.
- [5] Cyraniak E., Daniszewski P., Draszawka - Bołzan B. *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 5 (2012) 96-103.
- [6] Daniszewski P., *Ekologia i Technika* 5 (2008) 211-214.
- [7] Daniszewski P., *Wiadomości Melioracyjne i Łąkarskie* 4 (2008) 187-188.
- [8] Daniszewski P., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 1 (2012) 13-16.
- [9] Daniszewski P., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 2 (2012) 35-41.
- [10] Daniszewski P., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 2 (2012) 42-45.

-
- [11] Daniszewski P., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 2 (2012) 46-52.
- [12] Daniszewski P., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 4 (2012) 119-124.
- [13] Daniszewski P., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 5 (2012) 72-79.
- [14] Daniszewski P., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 5 (2012) 80-87.
- [15] Daniszewski P., Konieczny R., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 4 (2013) 91-97.
- [16] Daniszewski P., Konieczny R., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 4 (2013) 98-104.
- [17] Daniszewski P., Konieczny R., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 5 (2013) 66-75.
- [18] Daniszewski P., Konieczny R., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 5 (2013) 86-96.
- [19] Daniszewski P., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 7(1) (2013) 60-66.
- [20] Daniszewski P., *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 7(1) (2013) 67-72.
- [21] Desai V. R., Sabale R. N., Raundal P. V., *Agric. Univ.* 24(3) (1999) 273-275 .
- [22] Japony M., Young S. D. E., *J. Soil Sci.* 45 (1994) 59-70.
- [23] Kabata-Pendias A., *Zesz. Nauk. Kom. PAN, Człowiek i środowisko* 21 (1998) 9-17.
- [24] Kabata-Pendias A., *Zesz. Nauk. Kom. PAN Człowiek i środowisko* 33 (2000) 1-18.
- [25] Kabata-Pendias A., Pendias H., CRC Press, *Boca Raton, FL, USA* (2001) 413.
- [26] Kalembasa S., Symanowicz B., *Chem. Engin. A.* 17(7) (2010) 757-764.
- [27] Nowak W., Draszawka-Bołzan B., *Fol. Univ. Agric. Stetin., 211, Agricultura* 84 (2000) 363-366.
- [28] Parthasarathi K., Balamurugan M., Ranganathan L. S., *Iran. J. Environ. Health Sci. Eng.* 5 (1) (2008) 51-58.
- [29] Patil S. L., Sheelavantar M. N., *Annals Agric. Res.* 21(21) (2000) 32-36.
- [30] Spiak Z., Romanowska M., Radoła J., *Chemistry for Agriculture* 5 (2004) 181-186.
- [31] Symanowicz B., *Acta Sci. Pol. Agricult.* 4(2) (2005) 83-92.
- [32] Symanowicz B., Appel Th., Kalembasa S., *Polish J. Soil Sci.* XXXVII(1) (2004) 11-20.
- [33] Symanowicz B., Kalembasa S., *Fragm. Agron.* 27(1) (2010) 177-185.
- [34] Trabelsi D., Pini F., Aouani M.E., Bazzicalupo M., Mengoni A., *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 48 (2009) 355-361.