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Abstract. In this paper, we study the Weierstrass Transformation of certain generalized functions and their convolution transformation of certain generalised function and its inversion. We extend such type of results of convergence and inversion theorems for generalized weierstrass transforms (1.1) and (1.2).

1. Introduction

The Laplace transform, become of its simple exponential kernel and is dense with its generalizations. The Stieltje’s transform, because of an outcome of iteration of Laplace transform is in now way poorer in respect to generalizations analogous to these, the Weierstrass transforms, 

\[ f(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(s-t,1)\varphi(t)dt, \]

where \((s,t) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2}e^{-s^2/4t}, 0 < t < \infty, -\infty < s < \infty,\) is the familiar source solution of the heat equation \(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\), a particular case of convolution transform, \(f(s) = \int_{a}^{b} K(s-t)\varphi(t)dt\), \(s\) is complex, because of it involving the exponential of the square of the difference of the variable of the transform and that of the integrand, may be thought for generalization with the help of a special function which Can be particularized to exponential function. We have considered the following generalization of Weierstrass transforms by using Whittaker’s function

\[
\begin{align*}
 f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi t^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4t} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} d\alpha(y)\right) \tag{1.1} \\
 f(x) &= 2^{-3/4\pi} t^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-y)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4t} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} d\alpha(y)\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
\]

2. The convergence theorems on (1.1)

Theorem 1.

If the integral (1.1) converges to a value \(A\) when \(x = x_0, t = 1\); then it converges for \(\infty < x < -\infty, 0 < t < 1\) and

\[
\lim_{t \to 1^{-}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-x_0-y)^2}{8t}} w_{1/2,1/4}  \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t} d\alpha(y) = A. \tag{2.1}
\]

Proof:

We first quote a known lemma due to Hirschman and Widder [1]. If \(\alpha(x)\) is of bounded variation in \([a \leq x < R]\) for every \(R > 0\), \(\alpha(\infty)\) exists, \(\beta(x)\) is positive, continuous and non-increasing in \([a \leq x < \infty]\), then

\[
\int_{a}^{\infty} \beta(x) d\alpha(x) \tag{2.2}
\]
converges set for fixed \( x, x_0, t \).

\[
\infty^*(y) = \int_0^y e^{-(x_0^2-y^2)/4} [x_0 - z] [-1/2 w_{v/2+1/4, 1/4} (x_0 - z)^2/4] d \alpha(z) , \tag{2.3}
\]

\[
\beta(y) = e^{-(x-y)^2/8} w_{v/2+1/4, 1/4} (x-y)^2/4 t^{1/2} \frac{w_{v/2+1/4, 1/4}}{4t} \frac{(x-y)^2}{4} . \tag{2.4}
\]

Now (1.1) is convergent for \( x = x_0, t = 1 \)

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x_0^2-y^2)/8} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(x_0-y)^2}{4} d \alpha(y) \text{ is convergent.}
\]

\[
(f_0^\infty + f_0^-) e^{-(x_0^2-y^2)/8} v_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(x_0-y)^2}{4} d \alpha(y) \text{ is convergent.}
\]

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-(x_0^2-y^2)/8} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(x_0-y)^2}{4} d \alpha(y) \text{ is convergent.}
\]

\[\Rightarrow \alpha^* (\infty) \text{ exists.} \]

Again since \( \beta(y) \) is continuous and \( \alpha^* (y) \) is of bounded variation every finite interval, therefore

\[
\int_0^{y_1} \beta(y) d \alpha^* (y) \text{ is convergent, } (0 < y_1 < \infty) . \tag{2.5}
\]

Also \( w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 (z^2/2) \) for large, \( \alpha (z e^{-z^2}) \) is positive, continuous and decreasing.

\( \beta(y) \) is positive, continuous and decreasing.

\[\int_0^\infty \beta(y) d \alpha^* (y) \text{ is convergent by the lemma quoted.} \]

\[\Rightarrow \int_0^{y_1} \beta(y) d \alpha^* (y) \tag{2.6}
\]

is convergent. (2.5) and (2.6) \[\Rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_0^{y_1} \beta(y) d \alpha^* (y) \text{ is convergent.} \]

\[\Rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_0^{y_1} \beta(y) d \alpha^* (y) \tag{2.7}
\]

is convergent. \(- \infty < x < \infty, 0 < t < 1 \) by the equations (2.3) and (2.4). Consider the integral

\[\int_0^{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y^2)^2}{4t}} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t} d \alpha(y) \tag{2.8}
\]

Which on making the substitution \( \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2t}} = z \) equals to

\[\frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_0^{(2\pi)^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{x-y^2}{4t}} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t} d \alpha(x-z/\sqrt{2})
\]

\[= \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_0^{(2\pi)^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{x-y^2}{4t}} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t} d \alpha(x-z/\sqrt{2})
\]

which is convergent by the lemma and therefore (2.8) is convergent for \(- \infty < x < \infty, 0 < t < 1 \).

(1.1) is convergent for \(- \infty < x < \infty, 0 < t < 1 \).

To attend the second part of the theorem, we proceed as under

\[\frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y^2)^2}{4t}} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t} d \alpha(y)
\]

\[\Rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y^2)^2}{4t}} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(x-y)^2}{4t} d \alpha(y)
\]

\[= \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(y-x_0)^2}{4t}} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(y-x_0)^2}{4t} d \alpha(y)
\]

\[= \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(y-x_0)^2}{4t}} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(y-x_0)^2}{4t} d \alpha(y)
\]

\[= \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(y-x_0)^2}{4t}} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 \frac{(y-x_0)^2}{4t} d \alpha(y)
\]
Which is a generalized Laplace transforms converging for \( t = 1 \) by the hypothesis. Since it represents a continuous function of \( t \) in \( 0 < t < 1 \), therefore its value at \( t = 1 \) will be equal to its limit for \( t = 1^- \). This is Convergence theorem for (1.1).

**Corollary**

If we take \( v = 0 \), then we get the convergence theorem Hirschman and Widder [1].

### 3. The convergence problems on (1.2)

**Theorem 2.**

If (1.2) be convergent for some \( x = x_0 \) and

\[
\int_a^y [\varphi(z) - \varphi(a)] \, dz = a(y - a), \quad y \to a^+
\]

then,

\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} 2^{-3/4} \pi^{-1/2} t^{-1/4} \int_{-\infty}^\infty (a - y)^{-1/2} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 (a - y)^2 2t \varphi(y)dy = \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2 - v/2)} \varphi(a)
\]

\[\tag{3.2}\]

\( \varphi(x) \) being absolutely integrable in every finite integral and \( v \) being a non–positive real no.

**Proof**

We first prove the following lemma. If (1.2) be convergent for some \( x = x_0 \) and

\[
\int_a^y [\varphi(z) - \varphi(a)] \, dz = a(y - a), \quad y \to a^+
\]

\[\tag{3.3}\]

\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} 2^{-3/4} \pi^{-1/2} t^{-1/4} \int_a^\infty (a - y)^{-1/2} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 (a - y)^2 2t \varphi(y)dy = \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2 - v/2)} \varphi(a)
\]

\[\tag{3.4}\]

\[
\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0^+} 2^{-3/4} \pi^{-1/2} t^{-1/4} \int_a^\infty (a - y)^{-1/2} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 (a - y)^2 2t \varphi(y)dy = l_1(t) + l_2(t).
\]

Now set

\[\alpha(y) = \int_a^y (x_0 - z)^{-1/2} w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 (x_0 - z)^2 2t \varphi(z)dz \]

\[\tag{3.5}\]

and

\[\beta(y) = \frac{(a - y)^{-1/2}w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 (a - y)^2 2t}{(x_0 - y)^{-1/2}w_{v/2} + 1/4, 1/4 (x_0 - y)^2 2t} \]

\[\tag{3.6}\]

(3.6), in the light of Slater [2]

\[
w_{k,m(x)} \sim x^ke^{-x/2} \Rightarrow \beta(y) = \frac{1}{t^{v/2}} (a - y)^{-v/4} \frac{e^{(x_0 - y)^2/4}}{x_0 - y} \frac{e^{(a - y)^2/4}}{a - y} \]

\[\Rightarrow \beta(y) \text{ is decreasing and } \beta(y) \to 0 \text{ as } y \to \infty, \]

since the denominator is more rapidly growing function as \( t \to 0^+ \).

We have, \( l_2(t) = 2^{-3/4}(\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_a^\infty \beta(y) d\alpha(y) \)

by the equations (3.5) and (3.6)

\[= 2^{-3/4}(\pi t)^{-1/2} [\beta(y) \alpha(y)]_{y=a}^\infty + \int_{a+y}^\infty \beta(y) d\alpha(y) \]

\[= -2^{-3/4}(\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_{a+y}^\infty \alpha(y) d\beta(y), \text{ since } \alpha(a+y) = 0 \text{ and } \beta(y) \to 0 \text{ as } y \to \infty \]
\[ |l_2(t)| \leq 2^{-3/4}(\pi t)^{-1/2} M \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} |d \{ -\beta(y) \} | \leq M \]
\[ = \sigma(1) \quad \text{as } t \to 0^+ \quad \text{by (3.6)}. \]

Again
\[ l_2(t) = 2^{-3/4}(\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_a^{a+\delta} \frac{(a-y)^2}{2t} e^{-\frac{(a-y)^2}{2t}} \varphi(y) dy \]
\[ = 2^{-3/4}(\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_a^{a+\delta} \frac{(a-y)^2}{2t} e^{-\frac{(a-y)^2}{2t}} e^{-y} e^{-\frac{(a-y)^2}{4t}} \varphi(y) dy \]
\[ = 2^{-3/4}(\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{y}{2t}} e^{-\frac{(a-y)^2}{4t}} \varphi(y) dy. \]

Now, \[ \frac{d}{dx} [D_v(x) e^{x^2/4}] = e^{x^2/4} [D_v(x) + v/2 D_v(x)] \]
\[ = e^{x^2/4} \cdot v D_{v-1}(x) \] by using the recurrence relation \[ D_v(x) + v/2 D_v(x) - v D_{v-1}(x) = 0 \]
\[ = a - v \text{ quantity in our case}. \]

Therefore, \[ D_v(x) e^{x^2/4} \text{ is decreasing and hence applying mean value Theorem Widder [3] to } l_1(t), \]
we get
\[ l_1(t) = 2^{-v/2-1} (\pi t)^{-1/2} D_v(0) \int_{a}^{a+\delta} e^{\frac{-(y-a)^2}{4t}} \varphi(y) dy, \]
\[ = 2^{-v/2-1} (\frac{1}{2})^{2t^2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}t}^{\frac{1}{2}t} e^{\frac{-(y-a)^2}{4t}} \varphi(y) dy. \]

To estimate \[ \int_a^{\infty} e^{\frac{-(y-a)^2}{4t}} \varphi(y) dy, \]

by using Widder [4] with
\[ K = \frac{1}{2}, h(y) = \frac{(y-a)^2}{4}, \]
and get (4\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_a^{a+\delta} e^{\frac{-(y-a)^2}{4t}} \varphi(y) dy, \sim \frac{\varphi(a)}{2} (t \to 0^+) .

Thus
\[ I_1(t) = \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2-v/2)} \cdot \frac{\varphi(a)}{2}, \quad (t \to 0^+) \]
\[ I_1(t) + I_v(t) = \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2-v/2)} \cdot \frac{\varphi(a)}{2}. \]

Similarly by putting \(-y \) for \( y \) and setting
\[ \alpha^*(y) = \int_y^{y+\delta} (x_0 - z)^{-1/2} W_{v/2 + 1/4, 1/4} (x_0 - z)^2 \varphi(z) dz \]
\[ \beta^*(y) = \int_{1/4}^{1/4} (a+y)^{1/2} W_{v/2 + 1/4, 1/4} (a+y)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-y)^{1/2} W_{v/2 + 1/4, 1/4} (x_0 - z)^2 \]
and proceeding as usual, we get
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} 2^{-3/4} \pi^{-1/2} e^{-1/4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (a+y)^{1/2} W_{v/2 + 1/4, 1/4} (x-y)^{1/2} e^{\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}} \varphi(y) dy \]
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2-v/2)} \cdot \frac{\varphi(a)}{2} \quad \text{by (3.7) and (3.8)} \Rightarrow \text{(3.2). Hence, the theorem.} \]

**Corollary:**
If we take \( v = 0 \), then we get the theorem established by Hirschman and Widder [4].

4. The inversion based problems on (1.1)

**Theorem 4.**
If \( \varphi(x) \epsilon \mathbb{C} \) at \( x = a \) and if
\[ u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{-1}{2\pi t} (x - y)^2} t^{1/2} \sqrt{(x - y)^2} W_{v/2 + 1/4, 1/4} (x-y)^{1/2} e^{\frac{(x-y)^2}{8t}} \varphi(y) dy \]
converges at \( (x_0, 1) \), then
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} u(x, t) = \frac{\varphi(a)}{\Gamma(1/2)} \quad (v \leq 0). \]
Proof
Choose an arbitrary \( \delta > 0 \) and decompose (4.1) into three integrals such as \( I_1(x, t), I_2(x, t) \) and \( I_3(x, t) \) corresponding to the intervals \((-\infty, a-\delta), (a-\delta, a+\delta), (a+\delta, \infty)\) respectively.

**Case I:**
Let \( x_0 \leq a+\delta \) and set
\[
\alpha(y) = \int_{a+\delta}^{y} e^{-(x_0-z)^2/8} (x_0-z)^{-1/2} W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (x_0-y)^2 \varphi(z) dz \tag{4.3}
\]
\[
\beta(y) = e^{(y-x)^2/8} \int_{x-y}^{y} e^{-y^2/8} \sqrt{\frac{x-y}{t}} dz \tag{4.4}
\]
Then, \( I_3(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} \beta(y) \, d\alpha(y) \)
\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} \alpha(y) \, d[-\beta(y)] \] by integration by parts, since \( \alpha(a+\delta) = 0 \) and \( \beta(y) \to 0 \) as \( y \to \infty \). This
\[
\Rightarrow |I_3(x, t)| \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |\beta(a+\delta)| \ (|\alpha(y)| \leq M)
\]
\[
\Rightarrow I_3(x, t) \to 0 \text{ as } x \to a \text{ and } t \to 0^+ \text{ by (4.4)}.
\]

**Case II:**
Let \( x_0 > a+\delta \) and say \( x_0 = a + \delta_1 \) then
\[
I_3(x, t) = \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} \left( \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} - \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} \right) = I_3(x, t) + I_3(x, t) \ (say).
\]
Now \( \lim_{x \to a} I_3(x, t) = 0 \) as \( x \to a \) and \( t \to 0^+ \) by (4.6).

For \( I_3(x, t) \), we set
\[
\alpha(y) = \int_{a+\delta}^{y} e^{-(z-x_0)^2/8} W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (z_0-y)^2 \varphi(z) dz \tag{4.5}
\]
\[
\beta(y) = e^{(y-x)^2/8} W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (y-x)^2 \varphi(y) dy \tag{4.6}
\]
Then \( I_3(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} \alpha(y) \, d\beta(y) \)
\[
\Rightarrow |I_3(x, t)| \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |\alpha(y)| \ (|\beta(y)| \leq M)
\]
\[
\Rightarrow I_3(x, t) \to 0, \text{ as } x \to a \text{ and } t \to 0^+ \text{ by (4.6)}.
\]
Now, \( \lim_{x \to a+} |I_3(x, t) - I_1(x, t)| = 0 \)
\[
\lim_{x \to a+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} \left( \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} - \int_{a+\delta}^{\infty} \right) e^{(y-x)^2/8} W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (y-x)^2 \varphi(y) dy \mid dy \mid \varphi(y) \mid \leq N
\]
\[
\lim_{x \to a+} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y-a+1}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/2} \sqrt{\frac{x-y}{t}} dz \mid W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (y-x)^2 \mid dy \mid \varphi(y) \mid \leq N
\]
\[
\lim_{x \to a+} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y-a+1}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4} \sqrt{\frac{x-y}{t}} dz \mid W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (y-x)^2 \mid dy \mid \varphi(y) \mid \leq N
\]
\[
\lim_{x \to a+} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y-a+1}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4} \sqrt{\frac{x-y}{t}} dz \mid W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (y-x)^2 \mid dy \mid \varphi(y) \mid \leq N
\]
\[
\lim_{x \to a+} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y-a+1}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4} \sqrt{\frac{x-y}{t}} dz \mid W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (y-x)^2 \mid dy \mid \varphi(y) \mid \leq N
\]
\[
\lim_{x \to a+} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y-a+1}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4} \sqrt{\frac{x-y}{t}} dz \mid W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (y-x)^2 \mid dy \mid \varphi(y) \mid \leq N
\]
\[
\lim_{x \to a+} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y-a+1}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4} \sqrt{\frac{x-y}{t}} dz \mid W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (y-x)^2 \mid dy \mid \varphi(y) \mid \leq N
\]
\[
\lim_{x \to a+} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{y-a+1}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4} \sqrt{\frac{x-y}{t}} dz \mid W v/2 + 1/4, 1/4 (y-x)^2 \mid dy \mid \varphi(y) \mid \leq N
\]
+ |\varphi(a)|2^{-\nu/2-1} \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \left| \int_{\sqrt{2t}}^{x(a-\delta)} e^{-y^3/4} \frac{dv}{v/2} \right|

Now, since \frac{x-(a+\delta)}{\sqrt{2t}} < 0 and \frac{x-(a-\delta)}{\sqrt{2t}} > 0 in |x-a| < \delta/2, therefore

\lim_{t \to 0^+} \left| I_2(x - t) - \frac{\varphi(a)}{\Gamma(1-\nu/2)} \right| \leq \lim_{|y-a| \leq \delta} \frac{\varphi(y) - \varphi(a)}{\Gamma(1-\nu/2)}\, ,

by using \int_0^\infty e^{-y^3/4} \frac{dv}{v/2} = \frac{\pi^{1/2}(\nu+1/2)}{\Gamma(1-\nu/2)}.

But \lim_{|y-a| \leq \delta} \left| \varphi(y) - \varphi(a) \right| = 0 by continuity of \varphi(x) at x = a.

Therefore \lim_{t \to 0^+} \left| I_2(x - t) - \frac{\varphi(a)}{\Gamma(1-\nu/2)} \right| \leq 0,

i.e, \lim_{t \to 0^+} I_2(x - t) = \frac{\varphi(a)}{\Gamma(1-\nu/2)}\, .

Thus u(x, t) = I_1(x, t) + I_2(x, t) + I_3(x, t)

\Rightarrow \lim_{t \to 0^+} (x, t) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} I_2(x - t) = \frac{\varphi(a)}{\Gamma(1-\nu/2)}\, .

Hence, the theorem.

**Corollary:**

If we take \nu = 0, we get the theorem established by Hirschman and Widder [5]

**Theorem 5.**

If \varphi(y) is bounded and continuous in -\infty < y < \infty and if

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{iy}}{\sqrt{x-y}} W_v/2 + 1/4 \frac{1}{4} (x-y)^2 \varphi(y) dy \quad \text{(5.1)} \]

Then \(-1)^{\nu} D^{-\nu} e^{-D^2 f(x)} = \varphi(x), where v is an integer including zero.

**Proof**

According to pollard [6], the inversion of the integral transform

\[ f(x) = \int_0^\infty k(x - y) \varphi(y) dy \quad \text{is given by} \]

\[ E(D) f(x) = \varphi(x), \quad (D = \frac{dy}{dx}), \quad \text{where} -\frac{1}{E(s)} = h \left( \frac{s}{l} \right), \]

\[ h(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-isx} k(x) \frac{dx}{dx} \quad \text{Therefore, h(s) for the transform (5.1) is given by} \]

\[ h(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-isx} e^{-x^2/4} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} W_v/2 + 1/4 \frac{1}{4} (x^2) \]

\[ = (-1)^{\nu} /2\sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-isx} D^\nu (e^{-x^2/4}) dx \quad \text{by using the differential representation of W} \_k,m. \]

\[ = (-1)^{\nu} /2\sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-isx} (e^{-x^2/4}) dx \quad \text{by integration by parts} \]

\[ = (-1)^{\nu} (is)^\nu e^{-s^2} \quad \text{by using the result Erdelyi [6, p.121].} \]

Thus, the inversion function E(s) for the transform (5.1) is given by

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\nu y} (iv)^\nu e^{-v^2/2} \frac{dv}{\sqrt{\nu}} = \pi^{\nu/2} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \int_{-\nu}^{\nu} e^{i\nu y} (iv)^\nu e^{-v^2/2} \frac{dv}{\sqrt{\nu}} = \pi^{\nu/2} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} \]

\[ \therefore \text{Therefore, the inversion function E(s) for the transform (5.1) is given by} \]

\[ E(s) = (-1)^{\nu} s^{-\nu} e^{-s^2} \, . \]

Therefore, the inversion operator E(D) is given by

\[ E(D) = (-1)^{\nu} D^{-\nu} e^{-D^2} \, . \]

Therefore, the inversion of (5.1) in the light of pollards result is given by

\[ (-1)^{\nu} D^{-\nu} e^{-D^2} f(x) = \varphi(x) \, . \]
Corollary:
If we take $v = 0$, we get the inversion theorem Hirschman.

Remarks
The Convergence and inversion theorems for generalized Weierstrass transforms are referred to as natural and conditional functions, respectively. Moreover, in their easily derivable special corollary, when $v = 0$, would simplify considerably.
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